

Letter

pubs.acs.org/acssensors

¹ Marangoni Convection Assisted Single Molecule Detection with ² Nanojet Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy

³ Te-Wei Chang,[†] Xinhao Wang,[‡][®] Amirreza Mahigir,[∥] Georgios Veronis,[∥] Gang Logan Liu,^{*,‡} ⁴ and Manas Ranjan Gartia^{*,§}[®]

s [†]Intel Corporation, Ronler Acres Campus, 2501 NW 229th Ave, Hillsboro, Oregon 97124, United States

- ⁶ [†]Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801 United
 ⁷ States
- ⁸ [§]Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States
- 9 ^{II}School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States

10 Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Many single-molecule (SM) label-free techni-11 ques such as scanning probe microscopies (SPM) and 12 magnetic force spectroscopies (MFS) provide high resolution 13 surface topography information, but lack chemical information. 14 Typical surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) 15 systems provide chemical information on the analytes, but 16 lack spatial resolution. In addition, a challenge in SERS sensors 17 is to bring analytes into the so-called "hot spots" (locations 18 where the enhancement of electromagnetic field amplitude is 19 larger than 10^3). Previously described methods of fluid 20 transport around hot spots like thermophoresis, thermodiffu-21 sion/Soret effect, and electrothermoplasmonic flow are either 22 too weak or detrimental in bringing new molecules to hot 23



spots. Herein, we combined the resonant plasmonic enhancement and photonic nanojet enhancemnet of local electric field on nonplanar SERS structures, to construct a stable, high-resolution, and below diffraction limit platform for single molecule labelfree detection. In addition, we utilize Marangoni convection (mass transfer due to surface tension gradient) to bring new analytes into the hotspot. An enhancement factor of $\sim 3.6 \times 10^{10}$ was obtained in the proposed system. Rhodamine-6G (R6G) detection of up to a concentration of 10^{-12} M, an improvement of two orders of magnitude, was achieved using the nanojet effect. The proposed system could provide a simple, high throughput SERS system for single molecule analysis at high spatial resolution.

30 **KEYWORDS:** Raman spectroscopy, Marangoni convection, single molecule, nanojet, SERS, enhancement factor, hot spot

any single-molecule (SM) techniques such as single-31 ³¹ M molecule fluorescence (orl) technique only a transmission $_{32}$ molecule fluorescence spectroscopies,¹⁻⁵ scanning ₃₃ probe microscopies (SPM),⁶⁻⁸ magnetic force spectros-³⁴ copies,^{9,10} and optical tweezers¹¹ can provide information about the surface topography, molecular electronic density 35 36 distribution and electronic states, or single molecule under 37 stretching or torsional loading. However, most of these 38 techniques seldom provide chemical information on the analyte 39 under study. Single-molecule Raman spectroscopy can provide 40 a chemical fingerprint of a molecular system since it represents 41 molecular vibrations.^{12,13} However, typical Raman microscopy/ 42 spectroscopy systems are diffraction limited and lack spatial 43 resolution to observe single molecules.¹⁴ To observe a single 44 molecule at high spatial resolution, recently Raman spectros-45 copy has been recently combined with atomic force microscopy 46 (AFM) probe to perform tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy 47 (TERS).^{15,16} However, due to the scanning approach employed 48 in TERS, the throughput of the system is low.

Another challenge associated with Raman spectroscopy is its 49 lower scattering cross sections $(10^{-25} \text{ to } 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ compared } \text{so}$ to 10^{-16} cm^{-1} for the fluorescence emission), which hinders the s1 successful application of this technique to detect molecules at s2 low concentration. Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy s3 (SERS) circumvents this problem by increasing the effective s4 scattering cross-section of the molecules near a metallic 55 nanostructure with the generation of high electromagnetic s6 field.^{17,18} In addition to the electromagnetic enhancement s7 (EM), the interaction between metal-molecule electron s8 densities (charge-transfer mechanism (CM)) also contributes s9 to the enhancement of effective Raman scattering cross- 60 section.¹⁹ The typical enhancement of the Raman signal can 61 be calculated to be $|E(\lambda_{ex})|^2|E(\lambda_{RamanScattering})|^2 \sim |E|^4$ where 62 $E(\lambda_{ex})$ is the enhanced electric field at the excitation 63

 Received:
 June 25, 2017

 Accepted:
 July 14, 2017

 Published:
 July 14, 2017



Figure 1. Fabrication of NASERS device. (a) Schematic of the microfabrication steps to prepare the NASERS device. (b) SEM image showing the nanomushroom Ag capped nanopillar structure of the SERS sensor.

64 wavelength, and $E(\lambda_{\text{RamanScattering}})$ is the enhanced electric field 65 at the emitted Raman scattering wavelength of the molecule 66 (which can be approximated to be the fourth power of incident 67 electric field at the location of the probe molecule).²⁰

Initial SERS experiments were peformed with colloidal 68 69 metallic nanoparticles which provided the "hotspots" (local 70 areas with optical electromagnetic field enhancement factor 71 between 10^5 and 10^{10}) mainly due to the random aggregation 72 of nanoparticles.²¹ However, due to the randomness of the 73 aggregation behavior, the results were challenging to replicate, 74 and there is large variation in the SERS intensity within the 75 same batches.²¹ With the advancement of microfabrication 76 approaches such as e-beam lithography, focused ion beam 77 milling, and nanosphere lithography, SERS substrates with 78 regular nanostructures were fabricated to improve the 79 repeatability of the results.^{22–24} However, simple, low-cost, 80 and reliable fabrication methods to fabricate SERS substrates to perform single molecule detection at high throughput are still 81 82 challenging to accomplish.

A new approach called "photonic nanojet" was shown at computationally to achieve subwavelength confinement of light so using dielectric microspheres and microscale cylinders.²⁵ The results showed that the NASERS system is capable of achieving 7 - 4 orders of magnitude higher intensity of the local electric field in addition to attaining a smaller incident laser spot size.²⁵ Subsequently, it was proposed that a three-dimensional subwavelength confinement of optical fields can also be achieved in photonic nanojet systems by an incident Gaussian beam instead of plane wave incident light.²⁶ Combining these two properties, SERS enhancement factor of ~10² has been achieved on planar Si geometries.²⁷

Molecular positioning in a hot spot is important in single-95 96 molecule surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SMSERS), 97 since enhancement factors are non uniform within individual 98 hot spots and across a SERS substrate.²⁸ Resonant molecules 99 require enhancement factors of at least $\sim 10^7 - 10^8$ and 100 nonresonant molecules require enhancement factors of at least $\sim 10^9 - 10^{11}$ for single molecule observation in Raman 101 ¹⁰² spectroscopy experiments.^{14,29,30} Apart from the enhancement 103 factor, it is important to transport the molecules in to the hot 104 spots. Some of the available methods to bring analytes into the 105 hot spots can be broadly categorized into two types: (1) passive ¹⁰⁶ methods (e.g., hydrophobic surface, ³¹ diffusion) and (2) active ¹⁰⁷ methods (electrokinetic, ³² optical trap, ^{33–35} microfluidics, ³² 108 nanofluidics,³⁶ thermophoresis/thermodiffusion/Soret ef-109 fect,³⁷⁻³⁹ electrothermoplasmonic flow⁴⁰). Previously super-110 hydrophobic artificial surfaces have been combined with 111 nanoplasmonic structures to preconcentrate and localize few

molecules (attomolar or 10⁻¹⁸ mol/L concentration) to detect 112 using SERS.³¹ At the plasmonic hot spot, due to the high 113 electromagnetic field, the molecules will experience two kinds 114 of forces: the gradient force (attractive), which acts in the 115 direction of low electromagnetic field to high electromagnetic 116 field, will try to pull the molecule toward the hot spot.³⁴ On the 117 other hand, the scattering force (repulsive) will push the 118 molecule out of the hot spot.³⁴ Due to high EM field, the 119 plasmonic hot spot will be at a higher temperature than the 120 surroundings. Since molecules move from higher temperature 121 to lower temperature (positive Soret effect or moving along the 122 temperature gradient), the molecules will experience another 123 force due to the Soret effect, and will be pushed away from the 124 hot plasmonic surface. Furthermore, such thermoplasmonic 125 convection is relatively weak (~10-1000 nm/s), and the 126 Brownian motions ($\sim k_{\rm B}T$) are confined to a few square 127 nanometers area. Since it is pushing away the molecules out of 128 the high temperature regions, it will not assist in bringing new 129 molecules to the hot spot areas. In microfluidics, nanofluidics, 130 and other diffusion processes, the flow terms can be separated 131 into the convection term and the diffusion term. Convection 132 varies as $\sim u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \approx \frac{U_{\infty}^2}{L}$, and the diffusion term varies as $_{133}$

 $\sim \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \approx \frac{U_{\infty}}{L^2}$, where ν is the kinematic viscosity (for water at 20 °C it is 10⁻⁶ m² s⁻¹), U_{∞} is the fluid velocity, and *L* is the 134 characteristic length. For a characteristic length of 100 nm and 135 flow velocity between 1 nm/s and 100 μ m/s, the diffusion term 136 will always dominate the convection term (Figure S-1). For 137 such characteristic length, the convection and diffusion term 138 will be comparable only when the flow velocity is extremely 139 large (~10 m/s). Electrothermoplasmonic (ETP)⁴⁰ flow using 140 thermophoresis and AC electric field can produce a velocity of 141 ~100 μ m/s; however, the flow will still be diffusion limited. 142

Here we combined the resonant plasmonic enhancement 143 approach and nonresonant photonic nanojet enhancement 144 approach on nonplanar SERS structures, to fabricate a high- 145 resolution and below diffraction limit platform for single 146 molecule label-free detection. In addition, we utilized 147 Marangoni convection (mass transfer due to surface tension 148 gradient) to bring new analytes into the hotspot. In our 149 previous study, we reported the fabrication of wafer-scale SERS 150 substrates with enhancement factors of 10⁸ based on the 151 thermal dewetting technique.⁴¹ With placing dielectric micro- 152 spheres on such devices, it is expected to increase the 153 enhancement factor as well as confine the incident field. 154 Furthermore, the effect of thermal gradient was analyzed and 155 the Marangoni effect was verified to be another factor 156



Figure 2. FDTD simulation showing the enhanced electromagnetic field due to the nanojet effect. (a) 3D electromagnetic field distribution at the bottom of a 5 μ m SiO₂ microsphere from the excitation of a Gaussian beam at the top of the microsphere. (b) Electric field in the *xy*-plane at a position 480 nm below the bottom of the sphere. (c) Electric field in the *xz*-plane; the microsphere is shown by dotted line; the line along which the linear electric field profile along the *z*-direction is extracted is also shown by a dotted line. (d) Electric field in the *yz*-plane. (e) Electric field in the *xy*-plane at the bottom of the sphere. (f) Electromagnetic field distribution (logarithmic scale) on the SERS substrate in the absence of microsphere (no nanojet effect). (g) Electromagnetic field distribution (logarithmic scale) on the SERS substrate in the presence of microsphere (with nanojet effect).



Figure 3. Effect of nanojet on Raman spectra using the NASERS system. (a) Raman spectra of BPE molecule with (red curve) and without (blue curve) nanojet effect; for comparison, SERS spectrum obtained from commercial Klarite substrate is also presented (black curve). For the SERS spectrum with and without microsphere, laser power of 21.7 μ W and integration time of 10 s were used, and for the SERS spectrum on Klarite substrate laser power of 1.23 mW and integration time of 30 s were used. (b) SERS spectra of R6G molecule at concentrations of $10^{-5}-10^{-9}$ M in the absence of nanojet effect. The limit of detection was 10^{-8} M. (c) SERS spectra of R6G molecule at concentrations of $10^{-12}-10^{-10}$ M in the presence of nanojet effect. The limit of detection was 10^{-12} M.

157 contributing to the enhancement. In addition to providing enhancement in the Raman signal, the platform will reduce the 158 detection volume by confining the incident wave within a 159 femtoliter (~0.2 fL) (Figure S-2) due to the nanojet effect. 160 Figure 1a shows schematically the fabrication steps of the 161 nanojet assisted SERS (NASERS) device. First, a 6 nm gold 162 thin-film was deposited on cleaned silicon wafer. Subsequently, 163 the rapid thermal annealing method was applied to perform 164 thermal dewetting of the thin film in order to achieve islands of 165 166 Au nanoparticles (AuNPs). In order to control the gap size among the particles, and improve the hot spot density, a thick 167 layer (50 nm) of silver was deposited. The scanning electron 168 microscopy (SEM) image of the fabricated SERS substrate is 169 shown in Figure 1b. The SEM shows a mushroom-like 170 structure with Ag cap on top of the Au nanoparticles. The 171 small distance between the nanoparticles is ideal for creating 172 173 high electromagnetic field (hot spot) leading to better SERS 174 performance.

f1

The finite difference time domain (FDTD) simulation results 175 of the nanojet effect on the proposed system is shown in Figure 176 f2 2. The excitation beam was modeled as a Guassian beam. To 177 f2 create the nanojet effect, a SiO₂ microsphere with diameter of 5 178 μ m was drop casted on the SERS substrate. The beam width of 179 6.06 μ m (corresponding to 20x objective) was measured from 180 the experimental setup and used in the FDTD simulations. The 181 center of the microsphere was modeled to be the focal plane of 182 the simulation setup. The simulation results (Figures 2a-e and 183 S-2) show that the beam width of the incident beam is reduced 184 to 0.506 \times 0.371 \times 1.165 μ m³ in x, y, and z leading to 185 femtoliter excitation volume. Due to this three-dimensional 186 confinement, it is expected that the electromagnetic field 187 generated on the SERS substrate will be altered. In fact, Figure 188 2f and g shows a comparison between the electromagnetic field 189 (in logarithmic scale) generated on the SERS substrate with 190 and without microspheres. The results showed a two-orders of 191 magnitude enhancement in the intensity of the electromagnetic 192 field strength due the presence of microspheres. 193



Figure 4. FEM simulation of thermal gradient effect on the SERS enhancement. (a) Schematic of the NASERS modeling setup in COMSOL Multiphysics. (b) Distribution of total power dissipation density which contributes as the electromagnetic heating source; the microsphere is shown by the black circle. (c) Temperature distribution and contours at 1 s time stamp due to the laser irradiation. (d) Magnitude of the fluid velocity (shown for the *xz*-plane) and direction of the velocity field (shown as streamline and arrow lines) considering volume force and Marangoni effect.

To investigate the effect of the increased in electromagnetic 194 195 field intensity on the Raman scattering, a monolayer of 1,2-196 bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene (BPE) was immobilized on the SERS 197 substrate. The monolayer of BPE was achieved by immersing 198 the SERS substrate with 5 mM of BPE in ethanol for 24 h. To 199 wash off the unconjugated BPE, the substrate was rinsed three times in neat ethanol solution. The substrate was dried using 200 N₂ gas and stored in a vacuum box for further experimentation. 201 The SERS spectra of BPE in the presence and absence of 202 microspheres is shown in Figure 3a. The raw intensity of 203 Raman scattering was increased 5-fold due to the presence of 204 microspheres (nanojet effect) as shown in Figure 3a. It should 2.05 206 also be noted that the Raman scattering response is in fact 207 coming from smaller effective area compared to when there is 208 no microsphere, because of the reduction in the beam width 209 due to the presence of microsphere. Now, the enhancement 210 factors (EF) of the nanojet SERS system can be quantified as

$$EF_{NASERS} = \frac{I_{NASERS}/N_{NASERS}}{I_{NRS}/N_{NRS}}$$

211 where I_{NASERS} and I_{NRS} are the intensities of the NASERS and 212 normal Raman spectroscopy (NRS) signals, respectively, and 213 N_{NASERS} and N_{NRS} are the number of molecules contributing to 214 the NASERS and NRS signals, respectively. The enhancement 215 factor for the nanojet SERS system with BPE as a probe 216 molecule was found to be ~1.88 × 10¹⁰, which is about ~867 217 times higher than THAT without the nanojet effect on the 218 SERS substrate⁴¹ and ~10⁴ times larger enhancement 219 compared with commercial SERS substrates (see Supporting 220 Information Figures S-3 and S-8 for SERS EF calculation with 211 BPE molecule and on Klarite substrate).

In order to show that the system is capable of detecting 222 single molecule, Rhodamine 6G (R6G) was used as a target 223 molecule. R6G solution with varying concentrations were 224 prepared and placed on the top of the SERS substrate. In the 225 absence of microspheres, the SERS substrate can detect R6G 226 molecules up to a concentration of 10⁻⁸ M. Figure 3b shows 227 the comparison of SERS intensity in the absence of 228 microspheres at different R6G concentrations. The nanojet 229 effect and NASERS performance are shown in Figure 3c. The 230 results show that the NASERS system can detect R6G 231 molecules down to a concentration of 10^{-12} M. This represents 232 four-orders of magnitude improvement in the limit of detection 233 (see Supporting Information text and Figure S-4) due to the 234 nanojet effect on the NASERS system. With a droplet diameter 235 of 5 mm², spot size diameter of 1.25 μ m² (Figure S-5) the 236 average number of molecules detected can be calculated to be 237 \sim 0.7 (see SERS enhancement factor calculation with R6G as a 238 probe molecule in the Supporting Information and Figure S-7). 239

The improvement in the limit of detection can be 240 understood as follows. As per the previous discussion, the 241 R6G molecules will experience a radiation pull (~fN) toward 242 the hot spot due to gradient forces (acting from low EM field to 243 high EM field), a push away from the hot spot due to scattering 244 forces, Soret force (due to temperature gradients of ~1 K/ μ m) 245 which pushes the molecules away from the hot spot, and 246 thermoplasmonic convection (~10 nm/s) which depletes 247 molecules from the hot spot. Therefore, we expect the SERS 248 intensity to decrease at the nanojet regions (hot spot) due to 249 depletion of target molecules. In contrast, we observed greatly 250 enhanced Raman signal with the NASERS setup. This 251 enhancement phenomenon can be explained in terms of 252 f4 Marangoni convection. Figure 4a shows the schematic of the 253 f4

314

322



Figure 5. Time dependent NASERS for (a) BPE solution and (b) 1 μ M R6G.

254 simulation set up. Figure 4b shows that the peak power 255 dissipation at the plasmonic metal surface (Ag) due to photonic 256 nanojet can be as high as $\sim 10^{15}$ W/m³. The center point under 257 the microsphere will be the heat source due to the electromagnetic heating effect. Figure 4c shows the temperature 258 259 distribution under such heat source. The temperature gradient 260 shown in Figure 4c will contribute to two forms of motion for analytes: thermal diffusion and convection. The thermal 261 262 diffusion (Soret effect) is relatively weak due to both the minimal gravitational force at such a small distance ($\sim 10 \text{ nm/s}$) 263 (Figure S-6) and hence the analyte cannot move far. Figure 4d 264 shows the highest velocity magnitude under the nanojet region 265 when considering the Marangoni effect ($\sim 60 \text{ nm/s}$). Thus, 266 267 Marangoni convection will be contributing more to bringing 268 additional analytes back to the nanojet region to enrich the 269 molecules compared to natural convection. Natural convective 270 circulating process was oberved by Lee's group,³⁸ which may also contribute to the observed enhanced Raman signal. The 271 272 final confirmation of recirculation current was achieved by taking time dependent Raman spectra (Figure 5) for two 273 different molecules (BPE, R6G). Due to accumulation of new 274 molecules over time due to Marangoni convection current, the 275 276 intensity of Raman scattering increases with time (Figures 5). In conclusion, the nanojet effect provides optical confine-277 278 ment of the incident field leading to ~90 times increase of the 279 electromagnetic field intensity in addition to the normal SERS 280 enhancement of the substrate. The enhanced electromagnetic 281 field and confinement and enrichment of molecules result in 282 SERS enhancement factor of $\sim 3.58 \times 10^{10}$. The NASERS 283 system was utilized to detect R6G molecules at picomolar 284 concentration. The mechanism of analyte enrichment at the hot 285 spot is explained in terms of Marangoni convection. The 286 nanojet SERS setup provides a unique platform to perform 287 high-resolution chemical mapping of single molecule without 288 using scanning probe microscopy techniques which will 289 potentially increase the throughput of single molecule chemical 290 mapping.

291 **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

292 **Supporting Information**

293 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the 294 ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acssen-295 sors.7b00427.

Description of experimental methods, equations used in
 the multiphysics calculations, analytical results comparing
 convection and diffusion velocity terms, electric field
 distribution for the photonic nanojet system, SERS

enhancement factor (EF) calculation, calculation of limit 300 of detection (LoD), flow distribution without Marangoni 301 effect, comparison of single molecule and average SERS 302 spectra, and assessing the uniformity of the SERS 303 substrate (PDF) 304

AUTHOR INFORMATION	305
Corresponding Authors	306
*E-mail: mgartia@lsu.edu.	307
*E-mail: loganliu@illinois.edu.	308
ORCID [©]	309
Xinhao Wang: 0000-0002-4050-8736	310
Manas Ranjan Gartia: 0000-0001-6243-6780	311
Notes	312
The authors declare no competing financial interest.	313

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research reported here was supported, in part, by the 315 Louisiana Board of Regents through the Board of Regents 316 Support Fund (Contract Number: LEQSF(2017-20)-RD-A-04 317 and LEQSF(2017-18)-ENH-TR-08) and National Science 318 Foundation (Award Number: 1660233). M.R.G. thanks 319 Louisiana State University start-up funds for partially 320 supporting the research. 321

REFERENCES

(1) Ambrose, W. P.; Goodwin, P. M.; Jett, J. H.; Van Orden, A.; 323
 Werner, J. H.; Keller, R. A. Single molecule fluorescence spectroscopy 324
 at ambient temperature. *Chem. Rev.* 1999, 99 (10), 2929–2956. 325
 (2) Anger, P.; Bharadwaj, P.; Novotny, L. Enhancement and 326
 quenching of single-molecule fluorescence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2006, 96 327

(11), 113002.
(3) Kinkhabwala, A.; Yu, Z.; Fan, S.; Avlasevich, Y.; Müllen, K.; 329
Moerner, W. Large single-molecule fluorescence enhancements 330
produced by a bowtie nanoantenna. *Nat. Photonics* 2009, 3 (11), 331
654–657.

(4) Kühn, S.; Håkanson, U.; Rogobete, L.; Sandoghdar, V. 333 Enhancement of single-molecule fluorescence using a gold nano- 334 particle as an optical nanoantenna. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, 97 (1), 335 017402. 336

(5) Moerner, W.; Fromm, D. P. Methods of single-molecule 337 fluorescence spectroscopy and microscopy. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2003**, 338 74 (8), 3597–3619. 339

(6) Betzig, E.; Chichester, R. J. Single molecules observed by near- 340 field scanning optical microscopy. *Science* 1993, 262, 1422–1422. 341
(7) Gimzewski, J. K.; Joachim, C. Nanoscale science of single 342

(7) Gimzewski, J. K.; Joachim, C. Nanoscale science of single 342 molecules using local probes. *Science* **1999**, 283 (5408), 1683–1688. 343

(8) Xie, X. S.; Dunn, R. C. Probing single molecule dynamics. *Science* **1994**, 265, 361–361.

- (9) Celedon, A.; Nodelman, I. M.; Wildt, B.; Dewan, R.; Searson, P.;
 Wirtz, D.; Bowman, G. D.; Sun, S. X. Magnetic tweezers measurement
 of single molecule torque. *Nano Lett.* 2009, 9 (4), 1720–1725.
- (10) Hayazawa, N.; Tarun, A.; Inouye, Y.; Kawata, S. Near-field
 enhanced Raman spectroscopy using side illumination optics. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2002, 92 (12), 6983–6986.

(11) Neuman, K. C.; Nagy, A. Single-molecule force spectroscopy:
optical tweezers, magnetic tweezers and atomic force microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 2008, 5 (6), 491.

Nie, S.; Emory, S. R. Probing single molecules and single
 nanoparticles by surface-enhanced Raman scattering. *Science* 1997, 275
 (5303), 1102–1106.

(13) Kneipp, K.; Wang, Y.; Kneipp, H.; Perelman, L. T.; Itzkan, I.;
Dasari, R. R.; Feld, M. S. Single molecule detection using surfaceenhanced Raman scattering (SERS). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1997, 78 (9),
1667.

(14) Zrimsek, A. B.; Chiang, N.; Mattei, M.; Zaleski, S.; McAnally, M.
363 O.; Chapman, C. T.; Henry, A.-I.; Schatz, G. C.; Van Duyne, R. P.
364 Single-Molecule Chemistry with Surface-and Tip-Enhanced Raman
365 Spectroscopy. *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117* (11), 7583–7613.

(15) Jiang, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, R.; Hu, C.; Liao, M.; Luo, Y.; Yang,
367 J.; Dong, Z.; Hou, J. Distinguishing adjacent molecules on a surface
368 using plasmon-enhanced Raman scattering. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2015, *10*369 (10), 865–869.

(16) Zhang, R.; Zhang, Y.; Dong, Z.; Jiang, S.; Zhang, C.; Chen, L.;
Zhang, L.; Liao, Y.; Aizpurua, J.; Luo, Y. e.; et al. Chemical mapping of
a single molecule by plasmon-enhanced Raman scattering. *Nature*2013, 498 (7452), 82–86.

(17) Kneipp, K.; Kneipp, H. Single molecule Raman scattering. *Appl.* Spectrosc. 2006, 60 (12), 322A–334A.

376 (18) Fan, M.; Andrade, G. F.; Brolo, A. G. A review on the 377 fabrication of substrates for surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy and 378 their applications in analytical chemistry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2011**, 693 379 (1), 7–25.

(19) Campion, A.; Kambhampati, P. Surface-enhanced Raman
 scattering. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 1998, 27 (4), 241–250.

(20) Willets, K. A.; Van Duyne, R. P. Localized surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy and sensing. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2007, 58, 384 267–297.

385 (21) Qian, X.-M.; Nie, S. Single-molecule and single-nanoparticle 386 SERS: from fundamental mechanisms to biomedical applications. 387 Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37 (5), 912–920.

(22) Duan, H.; Hu, H.; Kumar, K.; Shen, Z.; Yang, J. K. Direct and
reliable patterning of plasmonic nanostructures with sub-10-nm gaps. *ACS Nano* 2011, 5 (9), 7593-7600.

(23) Im, H.; Bantz, K. C.; Lindquist, N. C.; Haynes, C. L.; Oh, S.-H.
Vertically oriented sub-10-nm plasmonic nanogap arrays. *Nano Lett.*2010, 10 (6), 2231–2236.

394 (24) Haynes, C. L.; Van Duyne, R. P. Plasmon-sampled surface-395 enhanced Raman excitation spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2003**, *107* 396 (30), 7426–7433.

397 (25) Chen, Z.; Taflove, A.; Backman, V. Photonic nanojet 398 enhancement of backscattering of light by nanoparticles: a potential 399 novel visible-light ultramicroscopy technique. *Opt. Express* **2004**, *12* 400 (7), 1214–1220.

401 (26) Devilez, A.; Bonod, N.; Wenger, J.; Gérard, D.; Stout, B.; 402 Rigneault, H.; Popov, E. Three-dimensional subwavelength confine-403 ment of light with dielectric microspheres. *Opt. Express* **2009**, *17* (4), 404 2089–2094.

405 (27) Dantham, V.; Bisht, P.; Namboodiri, C. Enhancement of Raman 406 scattering by two orders of magnitude using photonic nanojet of a 407 microsphere. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2011**, *109* (10), 103103.

408 (28) Fang, Y.; Seong, N.-H.; Dlott, D. D. Measurement of the 409 distribution of site enhancements in surface-enhanced Raman 410 scattering. *Science* **2008**, *321* (5887), 388–392. (29) Blackie, E. J.; Ru, E. C. L.; Etchegoin, P. G. Single-molecule 411 surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy of nonresonant molecules. *J.* 412 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131* (40), 14466–14472. 413

(30) Etchegoin, P. G.; Lacharmoise, P. D.; Le Ru, E. Influence of 414 photostability on single-molecule surface enhanced Raman scattering 415 enhancement factors. *Anal. Chem.* **2009**, *81* (2), 682–688. 416

(31) De Angelis, F.; Gentile, F.; Mecarini, F.; Das, G.; Moretti, M.; 417 Candeloro, P.; Coluccio, M.; Cojoc, G.; Accardo, A.; Liberale, C.; et al. 418 Breaking the diffusion limit with super-hydrophobic delivery of 419 molecules to plasmonic nanofocusing SERS structures. *Nat. Photonics* 420 **2011**, 5 (11), 682–687. 421

(32) Cho, H.; Lee, B.; Liu, G. L.; Agarwal, A.; Lee, L. P. Label-free 422 and highly sensitive biomolecular detection using SERS and 423 electrokinetic preconcentration. *Lab Chip* **2009**, *9* (23), 3360–3363. 424 (33) Juan, M. L.; Righini, M.; Quidant, R. Plasmon nano-optical 425

 tweezers. Nat. Photonics 2011, 5 (6), 349–356.
 426

 (34) Min, C.; Shen, Z.; Shen, J.; Zhang, Y.; Fang, H.; Yuan, G.; Du, 427
 L.; Zhu, S.; Lei, T.; Yuan, X. Focused plasmonic trapping of metallic 428

 particles. Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 2891.
 429

(35) Roxworthy, B. J.; Bhuiya, A. M.; Vanka, S. P.; Toussaint, K. C., 430 Jr Understanding and controlling plasmon-induced convection. *Nat.* 431 *Commun.* 2014, *5*, 3173.

(36) Choi, I.; Huh, Y. S.; Erickson, D. Size-selective concentration 433 and label-free characterization of protein aggregates using a Raman 434 active nanofluidic device. *Lab Chip* **2011**, *11* (4), 632–638. 435

(37) Donner, J. S.; Baffou, G.; McCloskey, D.; Quidant, R. Plasmon- 436 assisted optofluidics. ACS Nano 2011, 5 (7), 5457–5462. 437

(38) Kang, T.; Hong, S.; Choi, Y.; Lee, L. P. The effect of thermal 438 gradients in SERS spectroscopy. *Small* **2010**, *6* (23), 2649–2652. 439

(39) Duhr, S.; Braun, D. Why molecules move along a temperature 440 gradient. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2006, 103 (52), 19678–19682. 441 (40) Ndukaife, J. C.; Kildishev, A. V.; Nnanna, A. G. A.; Shalaev, V. 442 M.; Wereley, S. T.; Boltasseva, A. Long-range and rapid transport of 443 individual nano-objects by a hybrid electrothermoplasmonic nano-444 tweezer. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2015, 11, 53–59. 445

(41) Chang, T.-W.; Gartia, M. R.; Seo, S.; Hsiao, A.; Liu, G. L. A 446 wafer-scale backplane-assisted resonating nanoantenna array SERS 447 device created by tunable thermal dewetting nanofabrication. *Nano-* 448 *technology* **2014**, 25 (14), 145304. 449