Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yhbeh

Food deprivation explains effects of mouthbrooding on ovaries and steroid hormones, but not brain neuropeptide and receptor mRNAs, in an African cichlid fish

Brian P. Grone ^{*, 1}, Russ E. Carpenter, Malinda Lee, Karen P. Maruska, Russell D. Fernald

Biology Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-5020, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 December 2011 Revised 10 April 2012 Accepted 15 April 2012 Available online 26 April 2012

Keywords: Feeding Reproduction Parental care Teleost Cichlid Testosterone Estradiol Neuropeptide NPY GnRH

ABSTRACT

Feeding behavior and reproduction are coordinately regulated by the brain via neurotransmitters, circulating hormones, and neuropeptides. Reduced feeding allows animals to engage in other behaviors important for fitness, including mating and parental care. Some fishes cease feeding for weeks at a time in order to provide care to their young by brooding them inside the male or female parent's mouth. Maternal mouthbrooding is known to impact circulating hormones and subsequent reproductive cycles, but neither the full effects of food deprivation nor the neural mechanisms are known. Here we ask what effects mouthbrooding has on several physiological processes including gonad and body mass, brain neuropeptide and receptor gene expression, and circulating steroid hormones in a mouthbrooding cichlid species, Astatotilapia burtoni. We ask whether any observed changes can be explained by food deprivation, and show that during mouthbrooding, ovary size and circulating levels of androgens and estrogens match those seen during food deprivation. Levels of gonadotropin-releasing hormone 1 (GnRH1) mRNA in the brain were low in food-deprived females compared to controls and in mouthbrooding females compared to gravid females. Levels of mRNA encoding two peptides involved in regulating feeding, hypocretin and cholecystokinin, were increased in the brains of food-deprived females. Brain mRNA levels of two receptors, GnRH receptor 2 and NPY receptor Y8c, were elevated in mouthbrooding females compared to the fed condition, but NPY receptor Y8b mRNA was differently regulated by mouthbrooding. These results suggest that many, but not all, of the characteristic physiological changes that occur during mouthbrooding are consequences of food deprivation.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Reproduction in vertebrates requires a substantial energy investment, especially by females, for production of eggs and care of developing young. Parental care, defined as any form of behavior by one or both parents following fertilization that is likely to increase offspring fitness, is a major energetic cost of reproduction (Clutton-Brock, 1991). Some species forgo feeding entirely during a period of intense parental care, making further demands on metabolism. However, little is known about the changes in neurotransmitters and hormones that accompany parental care and regulate food intake during this energetically costly time. We analyzed this tradeoff in a species of cichlid fish, *Astatotilapia burtoni*, in which the female broods the developing eggs in her mouth for two weeks, during which she doesn't eat (Fernald and Hirata, 1979). To clarify the neural mechanisms underlying mouthbrooding, we measured expression of genes in the brain that regulate feeding and reproduction as well as circulating sex steroid hormone levels and ovary growth during food deprivation and mouthbrooding.

Feeding and reproduction are coordinately regulated by the brain (Tena-Sempere, 2007). With few exceptions, hormones and neuropeptides that inhibit reproduction tend to stimulate feeding (Schneider, 2004), and food deprivation affects the entire brain–pituitary–gonadal axis (Berriman et al., 1992; Loucks and Heath, 1994). To be reproductively successful, animals must balance the competing demands of feeding and reproduction. The mechanisms that regulate this balance must directly influence the individual's chances for survival and ultimately its reproductive fitness. Many of the neuropeptides known to regulate feeding and reproduction are conserved from teleosts to mammals and in many cases their expression is regulated by reproductive cycles and food intake.

The superorder Teleostei is the most diverse group of vertebrates and parental care patterns in these fishes span a wide range of behaviors (Gross and Sargent, 1985). Many cichlid fish species provide parental care, often in the form of mouthbrooding, and the brooding parent can be either the male or female or both (Oppenheimer, 1970). Mouthbrooding fishes undergo a particularly dramatic change in behavior linked to parenting: after spawning they consume little or no food for a period of weeks while holding and caring for their offspring inside their mouths (Fryer and Iles, 1972; Oppenheimer,

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: bgrone@stanford.edu (B.P. Grone).

¹ Present address: Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Center for Sleep Sciences, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA 94305, USA.

⁰⁰¹⁸⁻⁵⁰⁶X/\$ – see front matter 0 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.04.012

1970). In a study of 254 species of fish, those providing mouthbrooding or other parental care were highly likely to have empty stomachs (Arrington et al., 2002), suggesting that mouthbrooding has a significant impact on life history and energy balance. In female cichlids, mouthbrooding can cause a loss of body mass, delayed ovarian cycles, and delays in subsequent spawning (Smith and Haley, 1987; Smith and Wootton, 1994, 1995; Tacon, 1996). Thus, among cichlids, metabolism and physiology, as well as behavior, differ greatly between interspawning periods of energy storage and mouthbrooding periods of energetically demanding food deprivation.

The reproductive physiology, behavior, and neuroendocrinology of an African mouthbrooding cichlid species native to Lake Tanganyika, A. burtoni, have been extensively analyzed, making it a particularly useful model for asking questions about the interactions between physiology and behavior (Fernald, 2009; Fernald and Hirata, 1977a, 1977b; Francis et al., 1993; Hofmann and Fernald, 2000). For a period of about two weeks following spawning, female A. burtoni carry clutches of 30 to 50 eggs in their mouths (Fernald and Hirata, 1979). Although some cichlids do feed themselves or their young while mouthbrooding, A. burtoni females typically do not eat during the entire period of mouthbrooding, except in rare cases of brood cannibalization. A. burtoni females undergo several known behavioral and neural changes dependent on their reproductive state. Behaviorally, for example, when female A. burtoni are given a choice between territorial and nonterritorial males, non-gravid females spend equal amounts of time near both, but gravid females prefer to spend time near territorial males and, among territorial males, prefer more active individuals (Clement et al., 2005). Physiologically, compared to brooding females, spawning or gravid females have larger hypophysiotropic gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH1) neuron somata (White and Fernald, 1993), larger ovaries, and higher circulating levels of sex steroid hormones (Maruska and Fernald, 2010). The neural mechanisms responsible for these shifts in behavior and physiology are unknown but may include modulation of peptide release, receptor abundance, or gene expression levels in the brain.

Food deprivation has been shown to up- or down-regulate production of specific neuropeptides in many species (Schwartz et al., 2000), but its effects in *A. burtoni* are not well described. Previous reports in several cichlid species have emphasized the behavioral condition of mouthbrooding without investigating the associated, and often obligatory, food deprivation (Kishida and Specker, 2000; Mrowka, 1984; Smith and Haley, 1988). Since mouthbrooding is associated with reduced food intake, we predicted that steroid hormone and neuropeptidergic changes characteristic of food deprivation may appear in female *A. burtoni* while they are mouthbrooding. Alternatively, mouthbrooding species like *A. burtoni* may have developed adaptations to compensate for food deprivation during the brooding period, such that changes in the brain are different than those seen during normal food deprivation. Direct tests of these alternative hypotheses, however, have been lacking.

We asked whether the physiological phenotype of mouthbrooding *A. burtoni* females could be explained by the effects of food deprivation. We found that steroid hormones and brain neuropeptide and receptor genes linked to feeding and reproduction are regulated in response to food deprivation in female *A. burtoni*. Our results indicate that food deprivation may be sufficient to account for the physiological and hormonal changes seen during mouthbrooding, but not all of the neurobiological changes.

Materials and methods

Animals

A laboratory-bred population of *A. burtoni* derived from a wildcaught stock was housed in aquaria under conditions similar to those of their natural environment: pH 7.8–8.2, temperature 28 °C, and 12 hour light/12 hour dark cycle with full-spectrum illumination (Fernald and Hirata, 1977a, 1977b). Gravel covered the floor of the aquaria, and terra-cotta pot halves atop the gravel served as shelters, and facilitated the establishment and maintenance of territories among males. Fish were fed each morning with cichlid pellets and flakes (AquaDine, Healdsburg, CA). Amount of food varied with experimental treatment as described below. Standard length (SL), body mass (BM), and gonad mass (GM) of all animals were measured at the time of sacrifice and gonadosomatic index (GSI) was calculated as [(gonad mass/body mass)*100]. For all dissections, fish were briefly anesthetized in iced tank water, sacrificed by rapid cervical transection and brains were immediately removed, rapidly frozen in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes on dry ice, and stored at -80 °C. This rapid freezing of dissected tissues and subsequent storage at -80 °C is known to minimize RNA degradation {Barton, 1993 #4127; Yasojima, 2001 #4119}. All experiments were in compliance with the Animal Care and Use Guidelines at Stanford University and approved by the local Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care.

Experimental treatments

Experiment 1: mouthbrooding vs. food deprivation

To compare the physiological effects of mouthbrooding to those of food deprivation, we removed eggs from the mouths of some females after spawning and compared these females to other females with intact broods. Females between 4.1 cm and 6.0 cm standard length (SL) were chosen from community tanks within one day following spawning. Females were randomly assigned to one of three groups: (1) mouthbrooding females that retained their developing embryos for the duration of the experiment, (2) brooding females whose eggs were removed from their mouths that were then returned to a daily feeding schedule, and (3) brooding females whose eggs were removed that were subsequently deprived of food for the duration of the experiment. All females were housed solely with other females in the same treatment group and were sacrificed 14 days after spawning. Brains were removed, rapidly frozen on dry ice and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Blood was collected following decapitation via capillary tubes and centrifuged for 10 min (8000 rpm). Plasma was removed, frozen on dry ice, and stored at -80 °C until hormone assays were performed (see below). Plasma androgens and estrogens were measured in these females as a physiological indicator of reproductive state to test whether food deprivation would mimic the lower circulating steroid levels, likely due to small ovaries, that are often seen in mouthbrooding females.

Experiment 2: mouthbrooding vs. gravid

To test how female reproductive status influenced brain gene expression, mouthbrooding and gravid (sexually receptive) females from 4.0 to 5.6 cm SL were selected from large, mixed-sex community tanks and immediately sacrificed. Females brooding fully developed fry about 5–7 mm in length, which take 10–13 days post-fertilization to develop (Fernald and Hirata, 1979), were selected as mouthbrooders. Gravid females were initially selected by body shape (distended abdomen, indicating high GSI and large oocytes) and reproductive status was confirmed at sacrifice by gonad dissection. GSI values for gravid females were all >4.5. Fish in these tanks were fed a standard amount of food each morning, equal to approximately 2% of body weight on average. Brain samples were collected as described above for Experiment 1.

Experiment 3: female food deprivation

To test the effects of prolonged food deprivation on female *A. burtoni*, females were either fed normally or were food deprived for 4 weeks. To ensure that females began the experiment in similar reproductive states, mouthbrooding females with either eggs or fry in their mouths were selected from community tanks. These brooding females then had their

eggs and fry removed from their mouths and were assigned to either the food-deprived or the control tank. All females were weighed and measured at the beginning of the experiment. Food-deprived fish received no food and controls were fed normally (the fed group received about 2% of body mass every day) during the same 4-week period. To assess changes in body mass during food deprivation, body mass was recorded along with gonad mass at the time of sacrifice. Brain samples were collected as described above for Experiment 1. To assess body composition in fed and food deprived females, fish were weighed both wet and dry at the end of the 4-week experiment. Carcasses were weighed immediately after sacrifice (wet weight), and again after overnight placement in a drying oven to deplete the bodies of moisture (dry weight). Dry:wet weight ratios were then calculated as a relative measure of body fat content and condition.

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)

Brain mRNA levels were measured by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) with the MyIQ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Immediately after thawing (on ice) and homogenization of brain tissues, total RNA, free from genomic DNA contamination, was extracted using Qiagen RNeasy Plus Mini Kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and ~1 μ g total RNA for each brain sample was used to make cDNA by iScript reverse transcription (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). cDNA was then diluted to the desired concentration in nuclease-free water for qRT-PCR.

Quantitative RT-PCR primers for all genes were designed with Vector NTi (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and PrimerQuest (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) software (Table 1). All qRT-PCR primers were designed to avoid dimers or hairpin structures and to have similar melting temperatures (ca. 60 °C) and GC content (ca. 50% of residues). Primers used to measure control genes *g3pdh* (AF123727) and *actin* (JF826504) were identical to those used previously for *A. burtoni* (Zhao and Fernald, 2005).

qRT-PCR was performed in 30-µL duplicate reactions using a BioRad Real-Time PCR system with $1 \times IQ$ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA), 0.25 µM of each primer, and 2.5 ng/µL cDNA (RNA equivalent). Each amplicon was specifically amplified, as demonstrated by single peaks in melt curves for each reaction product. Fluorescence data were processed using Real-Time PCR Miner software (Zhao and Fernald, 2005) to calculate threshold cycle number (CT) and amplification efficiency for each sample. CT values were below 30 for all reactions. To normalize mRNA levels, values for each sample were divided by either *g3pdh* or *actin* concentration values, depending on the experiment.

Table 1

Real time PCR primer sequences.

Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 5 (Graph Pad Software Inc., San Diego, CA) using a two-tailed unpaired t test or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's or Student Newman–Keuls (SNK) post hoc test. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM or mean \pm SD. Normality was confirmed using D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test and equality of variance by F test. In cases where homogeneity of variance or normality assumptions could not be met, even after transformation, Mann–Whitney U or Kruskal–Wallis (KW) test was used to compare groups, followed by post hoc Dunn's test for multiple comparisons.

Steroid hormone assays

Plasma androgens and estrogens were measured from females in Experiment 1 using commercially available Enzyme Immunoassay (EIA) kits (Cayman Chemical, Inc.) that were previously validated for A. burtoni (Maruska and Fernald, 2010). The Testosterone EIA Kit primarily recognizes testosterone (T) and has some crossreactivity with other androgens (A). The Estradiol EIA Kit primarily recognizes 17_B-estradiol (E₂) and has some cross-reactivity with other estrogens (E). A 6.5 µL sample of plasma from each subject was extracted three times using 200 µL of diethyl ether and evaporated under a fume hood prior to re-constitution in EIA assay buffer (1:35 dilution). EIA kit protocols were then strictly followed, plates were read at 405 nm using a microplate reader (UV_{max} Microplate Reader, Molecular Devices), and steroid concentrations were determined from standard curves. All samples were assayed in duplicate and intra-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were androgen (9.8%) and estrogen (9.2%).

Results

Experiment 1: mouthbrooding vs. food deprivation

Fed females had significantly higher GSI values (mean \pm SEM; 2.657 \pm 0.3283) compared to both mouthbrooding (0.6263 \pm 0.1186) and food-deprived animals (0.8310 \pm 0.09492) (KW statistic 14.79, p = 0.006; Dunn's post test, p<0.05) (Fig. 1). Body weights did not differ among groups (KW statistic 2.643, p = 0.2668).

Levels of *gnrhr2* mRNA in the brain were significantly higher (KW statistic 6.705, p = 0.0350; Dunn's post test, p < 0.05) in mouthbrooding females compared to fed females, but not different from the fooddeprived condition. *npyry8b* levels were significantly higher in mouthbrooding females compared to food deprived females (KW statistic 6.100, p = 0.0474; Dunn's post test, p < 0.05), but neither group

Gene	Forward	Reverse	GenBank ID #
gnrh1	CAGACACTGGGCAATATG	GGCCACACTCGCAAGA	AF076961
gnrh2	TGGACTCCTTTGGCACATCAGAGA	CTCTGGCTAAGGCATCCAGAAGAA	AF076962
gnrh3	ATGGATGGCTACCAGGTGGAAAGA	TGGATTTGGGCATTTGCCTCATCG	AF076963
gnrhr1	TCAGTACAGCGGCGAAAG	GCATCTACGGGCATCACGAT	AY705931
gnrhr2	GGCTGCTCAGTTCCGAGTT	CGCATCACCACCATACCACT	AY028476
kiss1r	CGTGACAGTCTACCCCCTGAA	TCCAAATGCAAATGCTGACAA	GQ860302
hcrt	GCATCCTTTTGTGCTGCTAA	TTCCCTCACTCCAGGGTTAC	FJ871159
пру	CCTTGACGGAGGCATACCC	GAGGTTGATGTAATGTCTCAGG	AY779047
npyry8b	ATCCTCGTGGAGCCCTTGTTCTTT	TCATGGTCTGCTACCTGCATGTCT	JN639878
npyry8c	TCAGGTCTTCTCCCTGGTGTTGAT	TCTGGAATGGGTCATTGGTGAGGA	JN639879
cck	ATGCATCCTAACTTGGTGAGCTGG	TCTCTGGTTTCACTGGGTATGCCT	CN471180
mch	CATCATCTTTGCTGCAGCGCTCTT	AAAGCATCCTTCTCCAAGGAGCCA	X81144
расар	ATAGAGCCTTGAGGGAGATCCTGG	CGTCAGCTGCACGAATTGTCAT	EU523856
ротс	AAGTGTGGAGGATGTGTCCTGTGT	TGGATGCACTCCATCATGTTGCTC	AF116240
g3pdh	GCAGCAGCCACCATGTCAAGAC	GCAGACACTTCACCACGGTAACG	AF123727
actin	CGCTCCTCGTGCTGTCTTC	TCTTCTCCATGTCATCCCAGTTG	JF826504



Fig. 1. Gonadosomatic index (GSI) and mRNA levels of *npyry8b*, *npyry8c*, and *gnrhr2* in the brain of female *A. burtoni* from Experiment 1. (A) Mouthbrooding females that had their developing young removed and were then fed for 2 weeks (fed) had higher GSIs compared to brooders that were allowed to retain their young (mouthbrooding), and those that had their embryos removed and were deprived of food (food deprived). (B) Mouthbrooding females had higher levels of *npyry8b* mRNA compared to fed females. (C) Mouthbrooding females also had higher mRNA levels of *gnrhr2* compared to fed females. Gene expression data are normalized using *actin* mRNA levels. Data are plotted as means ± SE. Different letters indicate statistical differences at p<0.05.

was different compared to fed females. *npyry8c* levels were also significantly higher in mouthbrooding females than in the fed females, but food-deprived females were not different from the other two groups (KW statistic 8.508, p = 0.0142; Dunn's post test, p < 0.05) (Fig. 1). A significant outlier (Grubb's test, p < 0.01) was removed from the food deprived group for both *gnrhr2* and *npyry8b*. No differences among groups were found for any of the other mRNA expression levels tested (see Table 2).

Plasma concentrations of androgens (A) and estrogens (E) were higher in females that had their eggs removed from their mouths after spawning and were then fed, compared to both mouthbrooding females that retained their developing embryos and females that had their eggs removed and were food-deprived (ANOVA, A: $F_{(2,24)} = 4.64$, p = 0.020, SNK, p < 0.05; E: $F_{(2,24)} = 7.05$, p = 0.004, SNK, p < 0.05) (Fig. 2). There was also a positive correlation between plasma A and E concentrations in all animals (Pearson product moment, r = 0.87, p < 0.001).

Experiment 2: mouthbrooding vs. gravid

Gravid females from community tanks had ~8-fold higher GSI (6.327 ± 0.3775 , N = 8) than mouthbrooding females (0.744 ± 0.0129 , N = 8) (Mann–Whitney test; p = 0.0002), and there was significantly more variance among the gravid females than among the mouthbrooders (F test; p=0.0109). There was no difference in average body mass between mouthbrooding ($2.514 \text{ g} \pm 0.206 \text{ g}$, N = 8) and gravid females ($3.036 \text{ g} \pm 0.294 \text{ g}$, N = 8) (two-tailed t test, p = 0.168). The control gene g3pdh was used to normalize other genes in Experiment 2 because g3pdh levels did not differ between mouthbrooding and gravid females (mean ± SEM mouthbrooders: $3.097e - 006 \pm 3.805e - 007$, N = 8; gravid: $3.870e - 006 \pm 3.033e - 007$, N = 8; two-tailed t-test, p = 0.1346).

Whole brain mRNA levels of *gnrh1* were significantly higher in gravid compared to mouthbrooding females (Table 3). *cck* and *hcrt* mRNA levels, on the other hand, were significantly higher in

Table 2

Female whole brain mRNA levels normalized to *actin*. Values reported as mean \pm SD (N = 10 mouthbrooding, 9 food deprived, 8 fed). One-way ANOVA test and Tukey's post hoc test used for *gnrh1, cck*, and *hcrt*. Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's post hoc test used for *gnrh2, gnrh2, npyry8b, npyry8c* (p values <0.05 in bold).

Gene	Mouthbrooding	Food deprived	Fed	p Value
gnrh1	0.0282 ± 0.0113	0.0174 ± 0.00651	0.0269 ± 0.0105	0.0514
gnrh2	0.00223 ± 0.000811	0.00246 ± 0.000748	0.00216 ± 0.000752	0.789
gnrhr2	0.00132 ± 0.000239	0.00124 ± 0.000474	0.000881 ± 0.000390	0.0350
hcrt	0.00332 ± 0.00132	0.00357 ± 0.00144	0.00343 ± 0.000914	0.914
npyry8b	0.00161 ± 0.000480	0.00108 ± 0.000243	0.00135 ± 0.000230	0.0474
npyry8c	0.0102 ± 0.00300	0.00865 ± 0.00313	0.00644 ± 0.000853	0.0142
cck	0.0397 ± 0.0108	0.0402 ± 0.0173	0.0339 ± 0.00747	0.528



Fig. 2. Circulating estrogen and androgen concentrations in female *A. burtoni* from Experiment 1. Brooding females that had their developing young removed and were then fed for 2 weeks (fed) had higher plasma levels of both A and E compared to mouth-brooders that were allowed to retain their young (mouthbrooding), and those that had their embryos removed and were food deprived (food-deprived). Data are plotted as means \pm SE. Different letters indicate statistical differences at p<0.05.

mouthbrooding compared to gravid females. There were no differences between mouthbrooding and gravid females for any other measured gene (Table 3).

Experiment 3: female food deprivation

As expected, female body mass increased in fed animals (percent change in mass: 19.325 ± 1.159 , N=9) and decreased in food-deprived animals (-9.112 ± 1.159 , N=8) over the 4-week experimental period. Weight changes for the two groups were statistically different (two-tailed t test; $p = 2.647 \times 10^{-9}$). Also as expected, dry: wet weight ratio was significantly lower in food-deprived females

Table 3

Gravid and mouthbrooding female mRNA levels normalized to g3pdh. Values are reported as mean \pm SD; N = 8; two-tailed t-test (p values <0.05 in bold). p Values for gnrh1, cck, mch, and pacap refer to Mann–Whitney test and all others refer to ANOVA.

Gene	Brooding	Gravid	p Value
gnrh1	0.0160 ± 0.0034	0.0234 ± 0.0061	0.0379
gnrh2	0.00736 ± 0.00439	0.00692 ± 0.00455	0.857
gnrh3	0.00927 ± 0.00207	0.00960 ± 0.00208	0.753
gnrhr1	0.0158 ± 0.0056	0.0213 ± 0.0115	0.238
gnrhr2	0.00101 ± 0.00026	0.00107 ± 0.00029	0.688
kiss1r	0.00130 ± 0.00019	0.00140 ± 0.00027	0.548
hcrt	0.00269 ± 0.00059	0.00212 ± 0.00044	0.0462
npy	0.0623 ± 0.0111	0.0612 ± 0.0116	0.834
npyry8b	0.00432 ± 0.00087	0.00369 ± 0.00082	0.156
npyry8c	0.00536 ± 0.00136	0.00512 ± 0.00101	0.701
cck	0.0369 ± 0.0106	0.0233 ± 0.0057	0.0019
mch	0.0151 ± 0.0029	0.0198 ± 0.0102	0.6454
расар	0.0906 ± 0.0220	0.0757 ± 0.0095	0.1049
ротс	0.195 ± 0.268	0.240 ± 0.360	0.782

 (21.864 ± 0.159) than in fed controls (23.820 ± 0.750) (Mann–Whitney test; p = 0.0206).

GSI was also significantly lower in food-deprived females (0.288 ± 0.0355 , N = 8) compared to fed controls (3.933 ± 0.791 ; N = 9; Mann-Whitney test; p = 0.0055).

In this experiment, *gnrh1* mRNA levels were significantly higher in brains of fed females than in food-deprived females (two tailed t test, t stat = 4.0596, p = 0.0012). There were no other differences in brain gene expression between fed and food-deprived females (Table 4).

Discussion

Our experiments revealed some of the consequences of mouthbrooding and food deprivation on physiology and mRNA expression of several genes involved in neurohormonal pathways that regulate feeding and reproduction. Comparing mouthbrooding and fed females (Experiment 1) showed that, compared to fed females, mouthbrooding female A. burtoni had higher brain expression of two receptor mRNAs: one GnRH receptor, gnrhr2, that may influence behavior (Chen and Fernald, 2006) and one NPY receptor, npyry8c. Interestingly, mRNA of one gene we tested, npyry8b, was expressed at different levels in mouthbrooding and food deprived females, with greater expression in the mouthbrooding females. Comparing mouthbrooding females to gravid females (Experiment 2) showed that mouthbrooding females had low levels of the reproductive hormone gene gnrh1, consistent with a previous study (White et al., 2002), and high levels of two genes implicated in the regulation of feeding and metabolism, cck and hcrt. Finally, we found that four weeks of food deprivation was associated with lower levels of gnrh1 relative to fed controls (Experiment 3) (Fig. 3).

Further, we show that food deprivation and mouthbrooding both lead to low GSI and circulating gonadal steroid hormone levels. Whereas some previous investigators have speculated that developing fry may release a hormone to inhibit the brain–pituitary–gonad axis in mouthbrooding cichlids (Specker and Kishida, 2000), we found that the food deprivation effects of mouthbrooding are sufficient to explain the hormonal changes we observed. Collectively, these results suggest that food deprivation could be the causal factor in inhibiting ovarian development and steroid hormone levels, but that mouthbrooding also has distinct profiles of gene expression in the brain that cannot be explained simply by the effects of food deprivation.

Many neuropeptides controlling food intake in mammals are conserved in fish, where they also play roles in regulating feeding. For example, hypocretins/orexins (Hcrt/Orx) and neuropeptide Y (NPY) stimulate feeding in goldfish (Kauffman and Rissman, 2004; Temple et al., 2003), as in mammals (Clark et al., 1984; de Lecea et al.,

Table 4

Brain mRNA levels normalized to g3pdh in food deprived and fed females. Values reported as mean \pm SD; N = 8. Two-tailed t-test was used for *gnrh2*, *hcrt*, *mch*, and *pacap*. Mann–Whitney test was used for *gnrh1*, *gnrh3*, *gnrhr1*, *gnrh2*, *npy*, *npyry8b*, *npyry8c*, *cck*, and *pomc* (p values <0.05 in bold).

Gene	Food deprived	Fed	t Stat	p Value
gnrh1	0.0203 ± 0.00532	0.0397 ± 0.0124	4.0596	0.0011
gnrh2	0.00610 ± 0.00204	0.00470 ± 0.00196	1.405	0.182
gnrh3	0.0164 ± 0.0143	0.0118 ± 0.00485	0.853	0.574
gnrhr1	0.0187 ± 0.0155	0.0132 ± 0.00669	0.905	0.798
gnrhr2	0.0143 ± 0.0153	0.00998 ± 0.00568	0.751	0.879
hcrt	0.0110 ± 0.0154	0.00532 ± 0.00272	1.026	0.322
пру	0.124 ± 0.0323	0.116 ± 0.0185	0.639	0.279
npyry8b	0.0158 ± 0.0133	0.0111 ± 0.00389	0.974	0.5737
npyry8c	0.0391 ± 0.0367	0.0431 ± 0.0680	0.147	0.4418
cck	0.0474 ± 0.0271	0.0343 ± 0.00385	1.358	0.463
mch	0.0375 ± 0.0176	0.0377 ± 0.0170	0.0263	0.979
расар	0.0505 ± 0.00532	0.0481 ± 0.00596	0.835	0.418
ротс	0.0206 ± 0.0285	0.0101 ± 0.00522	1.027	0.574



Fig. 3. Venn diagrams summarizing the results of Experiment 1 (A), Experiment 2 (B), and Experiment 3 (C). Physiological variables that do not differ among groups are shown inside overlapping areas of the circles. Values that are greater in one group compared to the others are shown, inside the non-overlapping area of a circle, with up arrows.

1998; Sakurai et al., 1998). Several NPY receptor lineages are present in vertebrates, including two NPYR Y8 paralogs that exist in teleost fish, including A. burtoni (Turovskaya, 2006), but have been lost in mammals and chickens (Salaneck et al., 2008). NPY receptor types Y1 and Y5 mediate feeding control in rodents (Hu et al., 1996; Pedrazzini et al., 1998), but the roles of NPYR Y8 receptors have not yet been elucidated. Peptide products of several genes inhibit feeding in both teleost fish and mammals. These prepropeptides include cholecystokinin (CCK), melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH), pituitary adenylate cyclase activating peptide (PACAP) and proopiomelanocortin (POMC) (Cerda-Reverter et al., 2003; Gibbs et al., 1973; Himick and Peter, 1994; Matsuda et al., 2005b, 2006; Morley et al., 1992; Vergoni and Bertolini, 2000). Neuropeptides whose mRNA levels are regulated by feeding include the orexigenic peptides NPY (Lin et al., 2000; Narnaware and Peter, 2001) and hypocretin (HCRT) (Nakamachi et al., 2006), as well as anorexigenic neuropeptides like CCK (Peyon et al., 1999), MCH (Ou et al., 1996), and PACAP (Matsuda et al., 2005a). GnRH2 may coordinate feeding and reproduction in birds (Maney et al., 1997), mammals (Kauffman and Rissman, 2004; Temple et al., 2003), and fish (Matsuda et al., 2008; Volkoff et al., 1999), but its effects in A. burtoni are unknown. Two GnRH receptor forms (GnRH-R1, GnRH-R2) in A. burtoni are expressed throughout the brain, where they could mediate neuromodulatory effects of GnRH peptides (Flanagan et al., 2007). Like the gnrh1 mRNA, the mRNA encoding kisspeptin receptor (kiss1r), which regulates GnRH release, was significantly higher in brains of dominant male A. burtoni compared to subordinate males (Grone et al., 2010), but kiss1r mRNA has not yet been examined in females. All of these genes are candidates for regulation of reproductive systems in the brain of mouthbrooding A. burtoni females; interestingly, only a few of them were significantly regulated by mouthbrooding and food deprivation.

Food-deprived vs. mouthbrooding

We found that plasma levels of A and E were significantly higher in A. burtoni females that had been fed following spawning compared to females that were mouthbrooding and food deprived (~2-fold for A, ~4-fold for E). This result is interesting in light of previous work on a closely related cichlid, Oreochromis mossambicus, which compared steroid hormone levels, but not brain mRNA levels, between mouthbrooding females and females who had spawned but had their eggs removed from their mouths the following day (Smith and Haley, 1988). Smith and Haley measured plasma steroid levels at 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, and 25 days following spawning. Ten days after spawning, mouthbrooders had significantly lower plasma androgens (~10-fold) and estrogens (~3-fold) compared to nonmouthbrooders Smith and Haley (1988). Continuous mouthbrooding ended at about two weeks after spawning, and the A and E differences were reversed: by 15 days after spawning, mouthbrooding females had much higher plasma A (~7-fold) and E (~8-fold) levels compared to had non-mouthbrooded, suggesting that females have a rapid increase in both E and A (but not progesterone or 17α -OHprogesterone) immediately after the mouthbrooding period (Smith and Haley, 1988). In the present study, we measured steroid levels when females were still mouthbrooding their fry, so our data are more comparable and consistent with the results from their 10-day rather than 15-day time point.

In another cichlid, Pseudocrenilabrus multicolor, removal of the fry decreased brood motivation, defined as the "readiness to take and keep the brood within the female's mouth", which is correlated with low hunger as measured by the amount of Tubifex worms ingested per unit time (Mrowka, 1984). The immediate resumption of feeding by P. multicolor females upon removal of the young, along with the delay in returning to normal levels of hunger, suggested that the physical presence of a brood inside the female's mouth combines with longer-term behavioral mechanisms to prevent feeding during mouthbrooding. Mouthbrooding males of Sarotherodon melanotheron, a biparental mouthbrooder, had decreased plasma A and E during the first week of brooding but increasing levels during the second week, peaking at the day of brood release, when A levels were 10-fold higher than during the first week and 2.5-fold higher than 10 days after release (Kishida and Specker, 2000). Species- or sex-specific variations could contribute to observed differences. Another factor contributing to variations between our results and the previous ones could be that we chose to measure A on day 14, but Kishida and Specker recorded elevated A levels on Day 11 and the day that fry were released, which ranged from Day 14 to Day 18. A previous study showed that, 12 days after fry release, recovering A. burtoni females have levels of testosterone, 11-ketotestosterone, and estradiol that are higher than mouthbrooding females but lower than gravid females (Maruska and Fernald, 2010). Our results confirm that A. burtoni females have low A and E levels at the end of

mouthbrooding, but we don't yet know what happens to steroid levels during and immediately following the release of fry.

In our study, plasma A and E levels did not differ between females that were mouthbrooding and females that were food deprived for an equal length of time (14 days). Importantly, the food deprived females and the mouthbrooding females were reproductively synchronized because they both spawned at the beginning of the experiment. Therefore, the low *gnrh1* mRNA and plasma A and E levels in mouthbrooding females may be explained simply by the effects of a twoweek period of food deprivation, which is similarly associated with smaller ovaries, and therefore reduced reproductive readiness, in both female groups. Thus, food availability likely regulates the amount of energy that can be used for ovarian recrudescence, which also has consequences for sex-steroid production. Other plasma and pituitary hormones that fluctuate with the breeding cycle in cichlids include prolactins, gonadotropins, and 17,20 β progesterone (Tacon et al., 2000), and remain to be investigated in *A. burtoni*.

Gravid vs. mouthbrooding

Mouthbrooding and gravid females showed differential brain expression of two genes involved with feeding, cck and hcrt. Increased expression of these two orexigenic peptide genes in mouthbrooding females corresponds with their decreased food intake. Reproduction is associated with two distinct periods in mouthbrooding cichlids: an investment phase (between mouthbrooding intervals) in which females store and use energy, and a consumption phase (mouthbrooding) of low turnover in which both oxygen consumption and food intake are much lower (Mrowka and Schierwater, 1988). The increased mRNA levels of cck and hcrt indicate that feeding circuits in the A. burtoni brain are modulated during mouthbrooding. Since hypocretin inhibits spawning behavior and stimulates feeding in goldfish (Hoskins et al., 2008), increases in hcrt could also serve to inhibit reproductive behaviors during mouthbrooding in A. burtoni. In contrast, we did not find differences in cck or hcrt levels between the different treatment groups in Experiment 1. Thus, the difference between mouthbrooding and gravid females suggests that the changes in cck and hcrt arise as a result of changes in physiology and metabolism following a prolonged energy storage phase rather than a rapid response after re-feeding.

In agreement with previous studies, we found lower *gnrh1* levels in mouthbrooding females compared to gravid females (White et al., 2002), while expression of the other two GnRH mRNAs, *gnrh2* and *gnrh3*, did not differ. If GnRH1 peptide levels decrease along with mRNA levels, activation of both GnRH receptor types in the pituitary is likely to be affected (Flanagan et al., 2007). In general, the reproductive axis is at its peak in gravid females, since they are preparing to spawn, and the subsequent changes in brain gene expression during mouthbrooding, including decreased *gnrh1*, and increased *hcrt* and *cck*, may facilitate the transition to a nonreproductive period of parental caregiving.

Food deprivation

The food-deprived females lost body mass and fat stores, and their ovaries were small even in proportion to their small body mass. The dry:wet weight ratio is a relative measure of body fat content because the loss in weight is primarily due to water, while the remaining weight is mainly lipids. Therefore, a high dry:wet percentage corresponds with high energy content, indicating that the fed animals were able to store excess energy as fat. Food-deprived or mouthbrooding animals are therefore likely to require more time to replenish energy stores and mate again, potentially decreasing future reproductive fitness. Food deprivation also led to low *gnrh1* levels in Experiment 2. This negative effect is similar to that found in some other species. For example, food restriction also decreases the expression of *gnrh1* mRNA in rats (Gruenewald and Matsumoto, 1993) and domestic chicken hens (*Gallus domesticus*) (Ciccone et al., 2007), but not male rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) (Wahab et al., 2011) or female sheep (McShane et al., 1993). In contrast to food-restricted female musk shrews, which have reduced *gnrh2* mRNA levels in the midbrain and reduced GnRH2 peptide levels (Kauffman et al., 2006), there was no effect of food deprivation on *gnrh2* mRNA in *A. burtoni*. Further work is needed to uncover the various roles, in both reproduction and feeding, of the three different *A. burtoni* GnRH peptides.

Evolutionary perspectives

Mammalian reproduction and parental care differ substantially from the case in mouthbrooding fish. In mammals, feeding and lactation increase dramatically during pregnancy (Richard and Trayhurn, 1985; Trayhurn, 1989), while in mouthbrooding fish, feeding is prevented during the same time that parents must provide the most care for their offspring. Mammals typically have a fixed ovarian cycling time, whereas fish, including tropical mouthbrooding fish that breed year-round, have malleable ovarian cycle durations. Mouthbrooding may also differ from mammalian reproductive conditions in its repercussions on neuropeptide expression and signaling in the brain. Pregnancy, a similar period of heightened metabolic demand in mammals, decreases levels of mRNA encoding the orexigenic neuropeptides MCH and HCRT in the lateral hypothalamus of female rats (Garcia et al., 2003) while increasing feeding levels. Changes in feeding-related hormones including leptin, ghrelin, and insulin may either directly affect neuropeptides including GnRH and NPY or modulate the availability of metabolic fuels like glycogen and fatty acids, which can regulate female reproductive behavior and physiology in mammals (Schneider and Wade, 1989). Despite differences in energetic requirements during parental care in mammals and fish, reproductive readiness can affect feeding levels and expression of feeding neuropeptides across taxa, although the direction and magnitude of these changes are often species-specific.

Most studies of A. burtoni have used the same laboratory stock (LS) of fish derived from individuals caught in Lake Tanganyika in 1977 (Fernald and Hirata, 1977a, 1977b). In 2005 a new wildcaught stock (WS) of A. burtoni was collected at the south end of Lake Tanganyika (Renn et al., 2009). Females in the WS population are "good" mothers: compared to the LS line that has been propagated in the lab for about 40 generations, they are less likely to cannibalize offspring and more likely to successfully raise their offspring (Renn et al., 2009). WS females are also metabolically buffered against body mass changes: they gain body mass slower when fed and lose body mass slower when food deprived. WS fry also show greater maternal affiliation, staying closer to the brooding female regardless of whether she is WS or LS. These differences between wildcaught and lab-bred strains suggest that factors such as artificial selection, drift, and epigenetics may have contributed to a shift toward more rapid growth in favorable conditions along with decreased maternal care in LS fish.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that it is the food deprivation that occurs during mouthbrooding that generates much of the 'mouthbrooding effect' on the physiology of maternal cichlids. Food deprivation substantially suppresses ovarian development, and androgen and estrogen levels in *A. burtoni*, similar to the suppression seen during natural mouthbrooding. Future studies are needed to examine the neuroanatomical expression patterns and functional roles in feeding and mouthbrooding of the genes, including *hcrt, cck, npyry8b*, and *npyry8c*, whose expression is regulated by feeding or mouthbrooding state. Our study also highlights the importance of including food-deprived groups as well as brooding and non-brooding groups in any future studies aimed at understanding the physiological, neural, and hormonal regulation of mouthbrooding in fishes. Doing so is the only way to clearly disambiguate the impact of a specific parental behavior state from the physiological consequences of food restriction.

Acknowledgments

We thank the reviewers for their insightful comments that helped us improve the manuscript. We are also grateful to Stanford Undergraduate studies (ML) and National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants F32NS061431 (KPM), F32HD063234 (REC), and NS034950 (RDF) for funding this work.

References

- Arrington, D.A., Winemiller, K.O., Loftus, W.F., Akin, S., 2002. How often do fishes "run on empty"? Ecology 83, 2145–2151.
- Berriman, S.J., Wade, G.N., Blaustein, J.D., 1992. Expression of Fos-like proteins in gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons of Syrian hamsters: effects of estrous cycles and metabolic fuels. Endocrinology 131, 2222–2228.
- Cerda-Reverter, J.M., Schioth, H.B., Peter, R.E., 2003. The central melanocortin system regulates food intake in goldfish. Regul. Pept. 115, 101–113.
- Chen, C.C., Fernald, R.D., 2006. Distributions of two gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor types in a cichlid fish suggest functional specialization. J. Comp. Neurol. 495, 314–323.
- Ciccone, N.A., Dunn, I.C., Sharp, P.J., 2007. Increased food intake stimulates GnRH-I, glycoprotein hormone alpha-subunit and follistatin mRNAs, and ovarian follicular numbers in laying broiler breeder hens. Domest. Anim. Endocrinol. 33, 62–76.
- Clark, J.T., Kalra, P.S., Crowley, W.R., Kalra, S.P., 1984. Neuropeptide Y and human pancreatic polypeptide stimulate feeding behavior in rats. Endocrinology 115, 427–429.
- Clement, T.S., Grens, K.E., Fernald, R.D., 2005. Female affiliative preference depends on reproductive state in the African cichlid fish, Astatotilapia burtoni. Behav. Ecol. 16, 83–88.
- Clutton-Brock, T.H., 1991. The Evolution of Parental Care. Princeton University Press, New Jersey.
- de Lecea, L., Kilduff, T.S., Peyron, C., Gao, X., Foye, P.E., Danielson, P.E., Fukuhara, C., Battenberg, E.L., Gautvik, V.T., Bartlett II, F.S., Frankel, W.N., van den Pol, A.N., Bloom, F.E., Gautvik, K.M., Sutcliffe, J.G., 1998. The hypocretins: hypothalamusspecific peptides with neuroexcitatory activity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95, 322–327.
- Fernald, R.D., 2009. Social Regulation of Reproduction: What Changes and Why, Hormones, Brain and Behavior, 2nd ed. Elsevier, Maryland Heights, MO, pp. 683–691.
- Fernald, R.D., Hirata, N.R., 1977a. Field study of *Haplochromis burtoni*: habitats and cohabitant. Environ. Biol. Fishes 2, 299–308.
- Fernald, R.D., Hirata, N.R., 1977b. Field study of *Haplochromis burtoni*: quantitative behavioural observations. Anim. Behav. 25, 964–975.
- Fernald, R.D., Hirata, N.R., 1979. The ontogeny of social behavior and body coloration in the African cichlid fish *Haplochromis burtoni*. Z. Tierpsychol. 50, 180–187.
- Flanagan, C.A., Chen, C.C., Coetsee, M., Mamputha, S., Whitlock, K.E., Bredenkamp, N., Grosenick, L., Fernald, R.D., Illing, N., 2007. Expression, structure, function and evolution of GnRH receptors GnRH-R1SHS and GnRH-R2PEY in the teleost, *Astatotilapia burtoni*. Endocrinology 148, 5060–5071.Francis, R.C., Soma, K., Fernald, R.D., 1993. Social regulation of the brain-pituitary-
- Francis, R.C., Soma, K., Fernald, R.D., 1993. Social regulation of the brain-pituitarygonadal axis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90, 7794–7798.
- Fryer, G., Iles, T.D., 1972. The Cichlid Fishes of the Great Lakes of Africa: Their Biology and Evolution. TFH Publications, Neptune City.
- Garcia, M.C., Lopez, M., Gualillo, O., Seoane, L.M., Dieguez, C., Senaris, R.M., 2003. Hypothalamic levels of NPY, MCH, and prepro-orexin mRNA during pregnancy and lactation in the rat: role of prolactin. FASEB J. 17, 1392–1400.
- Gibbs, J., Young, R.C., Smith, G.P., 1973. Cholecystokinin decreases food intake in rats. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 84, 488–495.
- Grone, B.P., Maruska, K.P., Korzan, W.J., Fernald, R.D., 2010. Social status regulates kisspeptin receptor mRNA in the brain of *Astatotilapia burtoni*. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 169, 98–107.
- Gross, M.R., Sargent, R.C., 1985. The evolution of male and female parental care in fishes. Am. Zool. 25, 807–822.
- Gruenewald, D.A., Matsumoto, A.M., 1993. Reduced gonadotropin-releasing hormone gene expression with fasting in the male rat brain. Endocrinology 132, 480–482.
 Himick, B.A., Peter, R.E., 1994. CCK/gastrin-like immunoreactivity in brain and gut, and
- CCK suppression of feeding in goldfish. Am. J. Physiol. 267, R841–R851.
- Hofmann, H.A., Fernald, R.D., 2000. Social status controls somatostatin neuron size and growth. J. Neurosci. 20, 4740–4744.
- Hoskins, LJ., Xu, M., Volkoff, H., 2008. Interactions between gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and orexin in the regulation of feeding and reproduction in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Horm. Behav. 54, 379–385.
- Hu, Y., Bloomquist, B.T., Cornfield, L.J., DeCarr, L.B., Flores-Riveros, J.R., Friedman, L., Jiang, P., Lewis-Higgins, L., Sadlowski, Y., Schaefer, J., Velazquez, N., McCaleb,

M.L., 1996. Identification of a novel hypothalamic neuropeptide Y receptor associated with feeding behavior. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 26315–26319.

- Kauffman, A.S., Rissman, E.F., 2004. A critical role for the evolutionarily conserved gonadotropin-releasing hormone II: Mediation of energy status and female sexual behavior. Endocrinology 145, 3639–3646.
- Kauffman, A.S., Bojkowska, K., Wills, A., Rissman, E.F., 2006. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone-II messenger ribonucleic acid and protein content in the mammalian brain are modulated by food intake. Endocrinology 147, 5069–5077.
- Kishida, M., Specker, J.L., 2000. Paternal mouthbrooding in the black-chinned tilapia, Sarotherodon melanotheron (Pisces: Cichlidae): changes in gonadal steroids and potential for vitellogenin transfer to larvae. Horm. Behav. 37, 40–48.
- Lin, X., Volkoff, H., Narnaware, Y., Bernier, N.J., Peyon, P., Peter, R.E., 2000. Brain regulation of feeding behavior and food intake in fish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 126, 415–434.
- Loucks, A.B., Heath, E.M., 1994. Dietary restriction reduces luteinizing hormone (LH) pulse frequency during waking hours and increases LH pulse amplitude during sleep in young menstruating women. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 78, 910–915.
- Maney, D.L., Richardson, R.D., Wingfield, J.C., 1997. Central administration of chicken gonadotropin-releasing hormone-II enhances courtship behavior in a female sparrow. Horm. Behav. 32, 11–18.
- Maruska, K.P., Fernald, R.D., 2010. Steroid receptor expression in the fish inner ear varies with sex, social status, and reproductive state. BMC Neurosci. 11.
- Matsuda, K., Maruyama, K., Miura, T., Uchiyama, M., Shioda, S., 2005a. Anorexigenic action of pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP) in the goldfish: feeding-induced changes in the expression of mRNAs for PACAP and its receptors in the brain, and locomotor response to central injection. Neurosci. Lett. 386, 9–13.
- Matsuda, K., Maruyama, K., Nakamachi, T., Miura, T., Uchiyama, M., Shioda, S., 2005b. Inhibitory effects of pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP) and vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) on food intake in the goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. Peptides 26, 1611–1616.
- Matsuda, K., Shimakura, S., Maruyama, K., Miura, T., Uchiyama, M., Kawauchi, H., Shioda, S., Takahashi, A., 2006. Central administration of melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH) suppresses food intake, but not locomotor activity, in the goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. Neurosci. Lett. 399, 259–263.
- Matsuda, K., Nakamura, K., Shimakura, S.I., Miura, T., Kageyama, H., Uchiyama, M., Shioda, S., Ando, H., 2008. Inhibitory effect of chicken gonadotropin-releasing hormone II on food intake in the goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. Horm. Behav. 54, 83–89.
- McShane, T.M., Petersen, S.L., McCrone, S., Keisler, D.H., 1993. Influence of food restriction on neuropeptide-Y, proopiomelanocortin, and luteinizing hormonereleasing hormone gene expression in sheep hypothalami. Biol. Reprod. 49, 831–839.
- Morley, J.E., Horowitz, M., Morley, P.M., Flood, J.F., 1992. Pituitary adenylate cyclase activating polypeptide (PACAP) reduces food intake in mice. Peptides 13, 1133–1135.
- Mrowka, W., 1984. Brood care motivation and hunger in the mouthbrooding cichlid Pseudocrenilabrus multicolor. Behav. Process. 9, 181–190.
- Mrowka, W., Schierwater, B., 1988. Energy expenditure for mouthbrooding in a cichlid fish. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 22, 161–164.
- Nakamachi, T., Matsuda, K., Maruyama, K., Miura, T., Uchiyama, M., Funahashi, H., Sakurai, T., Shioda, S., 2006. Regulation by orexin of feeding behaviour and locomotor activity in the goldfish. J. Neuroendocrinol. 18, 290–297.
- Narnaware, Y.K., Peter, R.E., 2001. Effects of food deprivation and refeeding on neuropeptide Y (NPY) mRNA levels in goldfish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol. 129, 633–637.
- Oppenheimer, J.R., 1970. Mouthbreeding in fishes. Anim. Behav. 18, 493-503.
- Pedrazzini, T., Seydoux, J., Kunstner, P., Aubert, J.F., Grouzmann, E., Beermann, F., Brunner, H.R., 1998. Cardiovascular response, feeding behavior and locomotor activity in mice lacking the NPY Y1 receptor. Nat. Med. 4, 722–726.
- Peyon, P., Saied, H., Lin, X., Peter, R.E., 1999. Postprandial, seasonal and sexual variations in cholecystokinin gene expression in goldfish brain. Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. 74, 190–196.
- Qu, D., Ludwig, D.S., Gammeltoft, S., Piper, M., Pelleymounter, M.A., Cullen, M.J., Mathes, W.F., Przypek, R., Kanarek, R., Maratos-Flier, E., 1996. A role for melaninconcentrating hormone in the central regulation of feeding behaviour. Nature 380, 243–247.
- Renn, S.C., Carleton, J.B., Magee, H., Nguyen, M.L., Tanner, A.C., 2009. Maternal care and altered social phenotype in a recently collected stock of *Astatotilapia burtoni* cichlid fish. Integr. Comp. Biol. 49, 660–673.
- Richard, D., Trayhurn, P., 1985. Energetic efficiency during pregnancy in mice fed ad libitum or pair-fed to the normal energy intake of unmated animals. J. Nutr. 115, 593–600.
- Sakurai, T., Amemiya, A., Ishii, M., Matsuzaki, I., Chemelli, R.M., Tanaka, H., Williams, S.C., Richarson, J.A., Kozlowski, G.P., Wilson, S., Arch, J.R., Buckingham, R.E., Haynes, A.C., Carr, S.A., Annan, R.S., McNulty, D.E., Liu, W.S., Terrett, J.A., Elshourbagy, N.A., Bergsma, D.J., Yanagisawa, M., 1998. Orexins and orexin receptors: a family of hypothalamic neuropeptides and G protein-coupled receptors that regulate feeding behavior. Cell 92 (1 page following 696).
- Salaneck, E., Larsson, T.A., Larson, E.T., Larhammar, D., 2008. Birth and death of neuropeptide Y receptor genes in relation to the teleost fish tetraploidization. Gene 409, 61–71.
- Schneider, J.E., 2004. Energy balance and reproduction. Physiol. Behav. 81, 289-317.
- Schneider, J.E., Wade, G.N., 1989. Availability of metabolic fuels controls estrous cyclicity of Syrian hamsters. Science 244, 1326–1328.
- Schwartz, M.W., Woods, S.C., Porte Jr., D., Seeley, R.J., Baskin, D.G., 2000. Central nervous system control of food intake. Nature 404, 661–671.

- Smith, C.J., Haley, S.R., 1987. Evidence of steroidogenesis in postovulatory follicles of the tilapia, Oreochromis mossambicus. Cell Tissue Res. 247, 675–687.
- Smith, C.J., Haley, S.R., 1988. Steroid profiles of the female tilapia, Oreochromis mossambicus, and correlation with oocyte growth and mouthbrooding behavior. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 69, 88–98.
- Smith, C., Wootton, R.J., 1994. The cost of parental care in *Haplochromis argens* (Cichlidae). Environ. Biol. Fishes 40, 99–104.
- Smith, C., Wootton, R.J., 1995. The costs of parental care in teleost fishes. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 5, 7–22.
- Specker, J.L., Kishida, M., 2000. Mouthbrooding in the black-chinned tilapia, Sarotherodon melanotheron (Pisces: Cichlidae): the presence of eggs reduces androgen and estradiol levels during paternal and maternal parental behavior. Horm. Behav. 38, 44–51.
- Tacon, P., 1996. Relationships between the expression of maternal behaviour and ovarian development in the mouthbrooding cichlid fish *Oreochromis niloticus*. Aquaculture 146, 261–275.
- Tacon, P., Baroiller, J.F., Le Bail, P.Y., Prunet, P., Jalabert, B., 2000. Effect of egg deprivation on sex steroids, gonadotropin, prolactin, and growth hormone profiles during the reproductive cycle of the mouthbrooding cichlid fish *Oreochromis niloticus*. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 117, 54–65.
- Temple, J.L., Millar, R.P., Rissman, E.F., 2003. An evolutionarily conserved form of gonadotropin-releasing hormone coordinates energy and reproductive behavior. Endocrinology 144, 13–19.

- Tena-Sempere, M., 2007. Roles of ghrelin and leptin in the control of reproductive function. Neuroendocrinology 86, 229–241.
- Trayhurn, P., 1989. Thermogenesis and the energetics of pregnancy and lactation. Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 67, 370–375.
- Turovskaya, Y., 2006. Characterization of Neuropeptide Y (NPY) Receptor and its Expression Pattern in the African Cichlid Fish, Astatotilapia burtoni. Biological Sciences. Stanford University, Stanford, p. 42.
- Vergoni, A.V., Bertolini, A., 2000. Role of melanocortins in the central control of feeding. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 405, 25–32.
- Volkoff, H., Bjorklund, J.M., Peter, R.E., 1999. Stimulation of feeding behavior and food consumption in the goldfish, *Carassius auratus*, by orexin-A and orexin-B. Brain Res. 846, 204–209.
- Wahab, F., Ullah, F., Chan, Y.M., Seminara, S.B., Shahab, M., 2011. Decrease in hypothalamic Kiss1 and Kiss1r expression: a potential mechanism for fasting-induced suppression of the HPG axis in the adult male rhesus monkey (*Macaca mulatta*). Horm. Metab. Res. 43, 81–85.
- White, S.A., Fernald, R.D., 1993. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone-containing neurons change size with reproductive state in female *Haplochromis burtoni*. J. Neurosci. 13, 434–441.
- White, S.A., Nguyen, T., Fernald, R.D., 2002. Social regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone. J. Exp. Biol. 205, 2567–2581.
- Zhao, S., Fernald, R.D., 2005. Comprehensive algorithm for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. J. Comput. Biol. 12, 1047–1064.