

LOUISIANA SALINITY FIELD REFERENCE FOR AGRICULTURE

INTRODUCTION

The salinity of groundwater and surface water across Louisiana remains an area of interest for agricultural commodities. Both horizontal (sea level rise and storm surges) and lateral (over-pumping and increased use of aquifers) saltwater intrusion have created a growing concern for tolerance of quintessential Louisiana crops. Though coastal communities see fluctuations in salinity from horizontal intrusion, central and northern Louisiana experience similar salinity problems from lateral aquifer salinity. Each of these cases has an impact on irrigation water used for our state's agricultural commodities, which translates into economic decline. This article serves as a reference guide for salinity ranges and how to determine the salinity of your irrigation water.



Sprinkler Irrigation for Potted Plants

WATER QUALITY TERMINOLOGY



Salinity – The measure of all dissolved salts in water, which includes mostly chloride, sodium, sulfate, magnesium, calcium and potassium.



Chloride – The measure of a single salt (contributor to salinity). Normally this is the most prevalent of salts in seawater.



Total dissolved solids (TDS) – The measure of minerals, salts, metals, cations or anions dissolved in water. This analysis tests for inorganic salts, principally calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium, bicarbonates, chlorides, sulfates and some small amounts of organic matter. In pure water, TDS is approximately equal to salinity.



Parts per million (ppm) – The measure of concentration for total dissolved solids. For reference: 1 ppm is equivalent to 1 milligram per liter (mg/L).



Parts per thousand (ppt) – The amount of salts in seawater is commonly measured in parts per thousand. For reference: 1 ppt is equivalent to 1,000 ppm.

EFFECTS ON SOIL SALINITY

Excess salts in soil or irrigation water can cause an imbalance of nutrients for plants which results in accumulation of ions thus reducing infiltration of water into the soil. Salinity has negative effects on crop yield, plant growth, coloration and defoliation. Plants can be more sensitive to high salinity environments in the seedling stage, after transplanting or when under additional stress from disease, weather, insects or nutrients. If water from the immediate area is known to have increased salinity, it's best to check your plants' sensitivity and tolerance to optimize growth.

SAMPLING TECHNIQUES FOR WATER

There are many good service labs that can conduct salinity testing for irrigation water. The LSU AgCenter Soil Testing and Plant Analysis Lab has a routine water test package for irrigation and pond water. It is always best practice to have samples sent through certified labs for confirmation on any field test using handheld equipment. To decrease the volume of samples sent in for analysis, one could send confirmation samples on a specific schedule for your operation (e.g., monthly, quarterly) and test in the field with an electrical conductivity (EC) meter more frequently. An EC meter is an affordable tool to have handy for quick reference analysis of water. The EC meter measures electrical flow through the water using salt as a gradient. It is reported in units of microsiemens per centimeter (μ S/cm) or decisiemens per meter (dS/m). There are many variables that can change the conversion of electrical conductivity to total dissolved solids (TDS), including nonlinear responses as EC increases and temperature. For simplicity, the following conversion is typically used for field-based calculations:

TDS (mg/L) = EC (dS/m) x 640 (EC from 0.1 to 5 dS/m) TDS (mg/L) = EC (dS/m) x 800 (EC > 5 dS/m)

By using the simplistic calculation for TDS, the salinity (in parts per thousand) can then be calculated by the following equation:

Salinity (ppt) = TDS (mg/L) / 1,000

These formulas are simplistic field-level calculations for quick salinity estimates. The most accurate readings would consider temperature and ion concentration variables. For this caliber testing, samples should be sent off for laboratory analysis. The following table shows calculations for μ S/cm or dS/m readings that can be used for easy conversions using the above formulas. If salinity is an issue for your water source, printing the following page and laminating it for easy conversion of EC readings to salinity can be helpful.

CONVERSION CHART

| uS/cm | dS/m | UNITS | SALINITY (IN PPT) | uS/cm | dS/m | UNITS | SALINITY (IN PPT) |
|-------|------|-------|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|----------------------|
| 100 | 0.10 | 64 | 0.06 | 9,750 | 9.75 | 7,800 | 7.80 |
| 250 | 0.25 | 160 | 0.16 | 10,000 | 10.00 | 8,000 | 8.00 |
| 500 | 0.50 | 320 | 0.32 | 10,250 | 10.25 | 8,200 | 8.20 |
| 750 | 0.75 | 480 | 0.48 | 10,500 | 10.50 | 8,400 | 8.40 |
| 1,000 | 1.00 | 640 | 0.64 | 10,750 | 10.75 | 8,600 | 8.60 |
| 1,250 | 1.25 | 800 | 0.80 | 11,000 | 11.00 | 8,800 | 8.80 |
| 1,500 | 1.50 | 960 | 0.96 | 11,250 | 11.25 | 9,000 | 9.00 |
| 1,750 | 1.75 | 1,120 | 1.12 | 11,500 | 11.50 | 9,200 | 9.20 |
| 2,000 | 2.00 | 1,280 | 1.28 | 11,750 | 11.75 | 9,400 | 9.40 |
| 2,250 | 2.25 | 1,440 | 1.44 | 12,000 | 12.00 | 9,600 | 9.60 |
| 2,500 | 2.50 | 1,600 | 1.60 | 12,250 | 12.25 | 9,800 | 9.80 |
| 2,750 | 2.75 | 1,760 | 1.76 | 12,500 | 12.50 | 10,000 | 10.00 |
| 3,000 | 3.00 | 1,920 | 1.92 | 12,750 | 12.75 | 10,200 | 10.20 |
| 3,250 | 3.25 | 2,080 | 2.08 | 13,000 | 13.00 | 10,400 | 10.40 |
| 3,500 | 3.50 | 2,240 | 2.24 | 13,250 | 13.25 | 10,600 | 10.60 |
| 3,750 | 3.75 | 2,400 | 2.40 | 13,500 | 13.50 | 10,800 | 10.80 |
| 4,000 | 4.00 | 2,560 | 2.56 | 13,750 | 13.75 | 11,000 | 11.00 |
| 4,250 | 4.25 | 2,720 | 2.72 | 14,000 | 14.00 | 11,200 | 11.20 |
| 4,500 | 4.50 | 2,880 | 2.88 | 14,250 | 14.25 | 11,400 | 11.40 |
| 4,750 | 4.75 | 3,040 | 3.04 | 14,500 | 14.50 | 11,600 | 11.60 |
| 5,000 | 5.00 | 4,000 | 4.00 | 14,750 | 14.75 | 11,800 | 11.80 |
| 5,250 | 5.25 | 4,200 | 4.20 | 15,000 | 15.00 | 12,000 | 12.00 |
| 5,500 | 5.50 | 4,400 | 4.40 | 15,250 | 15.25 | 12,200 | 12.20 |
| 5,750 | 5.75 | 4,600 | 4.60 | 15,500 | 15.50 | 12,400 | 12.40 |
| 6,000 | 6.00 | 4,800 | 4.80 | 15,750 | 15.75 | 12,600 | 12.60 |
| 6,250 | 6.25 | 5,000 | 5.00 | 16,000 | 16.00 | 12,800 | 12.80 |
| 6,500 | 6.50 | 5,200 | 5.20 | 16,250 | 16.25 | 13,000 | 13.00 |
| 6,750 | 6.75 | 5,400 | 5.40 | 16,500 | 16.50 | 13,200 | 13.20 |
| 7,000 | 7.00 | 5,600 | 5.60 | 16,750 | 16.75 | 13,400 | 13.40 |
| 7,250 | 7.25 | 5,800 | 5.80 | 17,000 | 17.00 | 13,600 | 13.60 |
| 7,500 | 7.50 | 6,000 | 6.00 | 17,250 | 17.25 | 13,800 | 13.80 |
| 7,750 | 7.75 | 6,200 | 6.20 | 17,500 | 17.50 | 14,000 | 14.00 |
| 8,000 | 8.00 | 6,400 | 6.40 | 17,750 | 17.75 | 14,200 | 14.20 |
| 8,250 | 8.25 | 6,600 | 6.60 | 18,000 | 18.00 | 14,400 | 14.40 |
| 8,500 | 8.50 | 6,800 | 6.80 | 18,250 | 18.25 | 14,600 | 14.60 |
| 8,750 | 8.75 | 7,000 | 7.00 | 18,500 | 18.50 | 14,800 | 14.80 |
| 9,000 | 9.00 | 7,200 | 7.20 | 18,750 | 18.75 | 15,000 | 15.00 |
| 9,250 | 9.25 | 7,400 | 7.40 | 19,000 | 19.00 | 15,200 | 15.20 |
| 9,500 | 9.50 | 7,600 | 7.60 | 19,250 | 19.25 | 15,400 | 15.40 |

MANAGING HIGH SALINE IRRIGATION WATER

Though there are many factors that can increase the naturally occurring ions in the soil, combining with high salinity irrigation water and amendments (including those high in salts or pH) can magnify the salt concentrations. There are numerous mitigation techniques for high salinity irrigation water whether from underground wells, surface water or coastal zones. The first step is to get accurate salinity readings to consider adopting best management practices. In this case, it would be best to have the samples tested at a certified lab for the most accurate results. Water coming from underground wells will be more consistent over time and require minimal testing, while surface water or tidal areas have a variable flow that may cause results to vary, thus needing samples to be taken multiple times for accuracy. For surface water, tributaries or other tidal movement areas, the United States Geological Survey (USGS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) or state agencies, like the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ), may have beacons actively monitoring salinity in your immediate area. The second step is to optimize irrigation schedules and fertilizer application. Any over

or under-application of water and fertilizer can initiate stress on the plant, which will intensify the effect of salinity. One short-term technique for decreasing the salinity of irrigation water is to dilute it by blending with alternative sources. The most utilized in this case is rainwater collection. This is a good practice for smallsized operations or those experiencing an abnormal spike in salinity. Another technique for low water volume operations is solar distillation to remove salts. For short-term solutions in larger operations, there may be investment opportunities in onsite water storage to switch to when abnormal salinity occurs. This water can be a reserve if needed for dilution or direct watering. If high salinity water is prevalent, then long-term solutions may include alternating irrigation techniques, such as moving from well water to surface water. Practices such as tailwater recovery and on-farm surface water storage can help create sustainable alternative water sources. Additionally, desalinization pumps can be purchased for areas in coastal zones, but before deciding on a pumping strategy, one must weigh all costs, including filtration systems, the volume of water produced and storage components.

COMMON LOUISIANA CROPS

For the following commodities, the electrical conductivity ranges indicate where the listed crop will experience 10% reduction in growth and quality. This means when considering the salinity of irrigation, it's best to be lower than the indicated range. For accurate threshold values or highest recommended electrical conductivity for optimal plant growth, consult the Expanded Commodity Table on the next page.

| SENSITIVE | MODERATE SENSITIVE | MODERATE TOLERANCE | TOLERANCE |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| EC = LESS THAN 3.0 | EC = 3.0-6.0 | EC = 6.0-9.0 | EC = 9.0-12.0 |
| Pecan | Corn | Oats | Cotton |
| Strawberry | Rice | Sorghum | |
| Citrus | Soybean | Wheat | |
| Sweet potato | Sugarcane | | |
| | Watemelon | | |

COMMON LOUISIANA GRASSES

For the following grasses, the electrical conductivity ranges indicate where the listed grass will experience 10% reduction in growth and quality. This means when considering the salinity of irrigation, it's best to be lower than the indicated range. For accurate threshold values or highest recommended electrical conductivity for optimal plant growth, consult the Expanded Commodity Table below.

| SENSITIVE | MODERATE SENSITIVE | MODERATE TOLERANCE | TOLERANCE |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| EC = LESS THAN 3.0 | EC = 3.0-6.0 | EC= 6.0-9.0 | EC= 6.0-9.0 |
| Centipede | Carpet | Tall fescue | Bermuda |
| | | Perennial rye | St. Augustine |
| | | Annual rye | Seashore paspalum |
| | | Bahia | |
| | | Zoysia | |

EXPANDED COMMODITY TABLE

This list was derived from U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Plant Tolerance, 2012 publications. The common and botanical names are listed for the selected plant types. The threshold value is the electrical conductivity where the initial reduction of maximum yield is expected, while the yield loss is calculated by the slope of yield reduction per unit of salinity increase above the threshold. For more details on the values the tolerance

is based on (seed, tuber, grains, etc.) and tolerances of other crops, please review the following USDA manual:

Grieve, C.M., S.R. Grattan and E.V. Maas. 2012. Plant salt tolerance. In: W.W. Wallender and K.K. Tanji (eds.) ASCE Manual and Reports on Engineering Practice No. 71 Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management (2nd Edition). ASCE, Reston, VA. Chapter 13 pp:405-459.

| | | THRESHOLD | YIELD LOSS | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|------|------|--|
| | BUTANICAL NAME | VALUE | 10% | 25% | 50% | |
| Alfalfa | Medicago sativa L. | 2.0 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 8.8 | |
| Almond | Prunus duclis | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 4.1 | |
| Apricot | Prunus armeniaca L. | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.7 | |
| Artichoke | Helianthus tuberosus L. | 0.4 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 5.6 | |
| Artichoke | Cynara scolymus L. | 6.1 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 10.4 | |
| Asparagus | Asparagus officinalis L. | 4.1 | 9.1 | 16.6 | 29.1 | |
| Barley | Hordeum vulgare L. | 8.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 18.0 | |
| Bean, common | Phaseolus vulgaris L. | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.6 | |
| Bean, mung | Vigna radiata L. | 1.8 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 4.2 | |
| Beet, red | Beta vulgaris L. | 4.0 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 9.6 | |

EXPANDED COMMODITY TABLE

| | BOTANICAL NAME | THRESHOLD | YIELD LOSS | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|------|-------------|--|
| | | VALUE | 10% | 25% | 50 % | |
| Bermudagrass | Cynodon dactylon L. | 6.9 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 14.7 | |
| Blackberry | Rubus macropetalus | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.8 | |
| Broadbean | Vicia faba L. | 1.6 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 6.8 | |
| Broccoli | Brassica oleracea L. | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 4.5 | |
| Cabbage | B. oleracea L. | 1.8 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 7.0 | |
| Canola | Brassica campestris L. | 9.7 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 13.3 | |
| Canola | B. napus L. | 11.0 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 14.8 | |
| Carrot | Daucus carota L. | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 4.6 | |
| Cauliflower | Brassica oleracea L. | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 5.0 | |
| Celery | Apium graveolens L. | 1.8 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 9.9 | |
| Clover | Trifolium hybridum L. | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 5.7 | |
| Corn | Zea mays L. | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 5.9 | |
| Cotton | Gossypium hirsutum L. | 7.7 | 9.6 | 12.5 | 17.3 | |
| Cowpea | Vigna unguiculata L. | 2.5 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 7.0 | |
| Cucumber | Cucumis sativus L. | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 6.3 | |
| Eggplant | Solanum melongena L. | 1.1 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 8.3 | |
| Eggplant | Foeniculum vulgare | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | |
| Fescue, tall | Festuca elatior L. | 3.9 | 5.8 | 8.6 | 13.3 | |
| Flax | Linum usitatissimum L. | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 5.9 | |
| Foxtail, meadow | Alopecurus pratensis L. | 1.5 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 6.7 | |
| Garlic | Allium sativum L. | 3.9 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 7.4 | |
| Grape | Vitis vinifera L. | 1.5 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 6.7 | |
| Grapefruit | Citrus x paradisi | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 4.9 | |
| Harding grass | Phalaris tuberosa L. | 4.6 | 5.9 | 7.9 | 11.2 | |
| Lemon | Citrus limon L. | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 5.4 | |
| Lettuce | Lactuca sativa L. | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 5.1 | |
| Love grass | Eragrostis sp. | 2.0 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 8.0 | |
| Onion, bulb | Allium cepa L. | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 4.3 | |
| Orange | Citrus sinensis L. | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 5.1 | |
| Orchardgrass | Dactylis glomerata L. | 1.5 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 9.6 | |
| Pea | Pisum sativum L. | 3.4 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 8.1 | |
| Peach | Prunus persica L. | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 4.1 | |
| Peanut | Arachis hypogaea L. | 3.2 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.9 | |
| Pepper | Capsicum annuum L. | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 5.1 | |
| Plum | Prunus domestica L. | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.2 | |

EXPANDED COMMODITY TABLE

| | | THRESHOLD | YIELD LOSS | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------|------|--|
| | | VALUE | 10% | 25% | 50% | |
| Potato | Solanum tuberosum L. | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 5.9 | |
| Radish | Raphanus sativus L. | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 5.0 | |
| Rice, paddy | Oryza sativa L. | 3.0 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 7.2 | |
| Rye | Secale cereale L. | 11.4 | 12.3 | 13.7 | 16.0 | |
| Ryegrass, perennial | Lolium perenne L. | 5.6 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 12.2 | |
| Sesbania | Sesbania exaltata | 2.3 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 9.4 | |
| Sorghum | Sorghum bicolor L. | 6.8 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 9.9 | |
| Soybean | Glycine max L. | 5.0 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 7.5 | |
| Spinach | Spinacia oleracea L. | 2.0 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 8.6 | |
| Squash, scallop | Cucurbita pepo L. var melopepo L. | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 6.3 | |
| Squash, scallop | C. pepo L. var melopepo L. | 4.9 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 9.7 | |
| Strawberry | lpomoea batatas | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.5 | |
| Sudan grass | Sorghum sudanense | 2.8 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 14.4 | |
| Sugarbeet | Beta vulgaris L. | 7.0 | 8.7 | 11.2 | 15.5 | |
| Sugarcane | Saccharum officinarum L. | 1.7 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 10.2 | |
| Sunflower | Helianthus annuus L. | 4.8 | 6.8 | 9.8 | 14.8 | |
| Sweet potato | Ipomoea batatas L. | 1.5 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 6.0 | |
| Tomato | Lycopersicon Iycopersicum L. | 2.5 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 7.6 | |
| Tomato, cherry | L. lycopersicumvar var cerasiforme | 1.7 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 7.2 | |
| Triticale | X Triticosecale | 6.1 | 10.1 | 16.1 | 26.1 | |
| Turnip | Brassica rapa L. | 0.9 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 6.5 | |
| Watermelon | Citrullus Ianatus | 3.3 | 5.6 | 9.1 | 14.9 | |
| Wheat | Triticum aestivum L. | 6.0 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 13.0 | |
| Wheat (semidwarf) | T. aestivum L. | 8.6 | 11.9 | 16.9 | 25.3 | |
| Wheatgrass | Agropyron sibiricum | 3.5 | 6.0 | 9.8 | 16.0 | |
| Wheat, durum | T. turgidum L. var. durum | 5.9 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 19.1 | |

REFERENCES

- Baron, M., Moss, J., Taghvaeian, S., "Salinity Management in Home Lawn." Oklahoma State University. December 2017. extension.okstate.edu/fact-sheets/print-publications/hla/salinity-management-in-home-lawns-hla-6616.pdf
- Fipps, G., "Irrigation Water Quality Standards and Salinity Management Strategies." Texas A&M Agrilife Extension. March 2021. <u>Irrigation water quality standards and salinity management strategies (tamu.edu)</u>
- Grattan, S., "Irrigation Water Salinity and Crop Production." University of California Agricultural and Natural Resources. 2002. Irrigation Water Salinity and Crop Production (ucanr.edu)
- Grieve, C., Gratton, S., Maas, E., "Plant Salt Tolerance." ASCE Manual and Reports on Engineering Practice No. 71 Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management (2nd Edition). <u>2012</u>. <u>9780784411698.pdf (usda.gov)</u>
- Hanson, B., Gratton, S., Fulton, A., "Agricultural Salinity and Drainage." University of California Agricultural and Natural Resources Irrigation Program. 2006. <u>hos.ifas.ufl.edu/media/hosifasufledu/documents/pdf/in-service-training/ist30688/</u> <u>IST30688---24.pdf</u>
- Kotuby-Amacher, J., Koenig, R., Kitchen, B., "Salinity and Plant Tolerance." Utah State University Extension. March 2000. Salinity and Plant Tolerance (usu.edu)
- Magee, P., "Plant Fact Sheet." USDA NRCS. June 2002. common carpetgrass (usda.gov)
- Peacock, C., Miller, G., Martin, M., "Irrigation Water Quality Problems." North Carolina State Extension. August 2022. <u>Irriga-</u> tion Water Quality Problems | NC State Extension Publications (ncsu.edu)
- "Soil Quality Indicators." USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service. December 2011. <u>Soil Quality Physical Indicator</u> <u>Information Sheet Series (usda.gov)</u>
- Spiridon, S., "Best Salt-Tolerant Grasses for Your Lawn." Lawn Starter. June 2024. <u>Best Salt-Tolerant Grasses for Your Lawn</u> (lawnstarter.com)

AUTHORS

M.P. Hayes, Assistant Professor in the School of Plant, Environmental and Soil Science and Louisiana Sea Grant Stacia L. Davis Conger, State Irrigation Specialist, Associate Professor at the Red River Research Station Eric DeBoer, Assistant Professor in the School of Plant, Environmental and Soil Science



Visit our website: www.LSUAgCenter.com

P3969 (online) 10/24

The LSU AgCenter and LSU provide equal opportunities in programs and employment.