

Synthesis and Characterization of Amphiphilic Cyclic Diblock Copolypeptoids from *N*-Heterocyclic Carbene-Mediated Zwitterionic Polymerization of *N*-Substituted *N*-Carboxyanhydride


Chang-Uk Lee,[†] Thomas P. Smart,[‡] Li Guo,[†] Thomas H. Epps, III,[†] and Donghui Zhang^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Macromolecular Studies Group, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States

[‡]Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: *N*-Heterocyclic carbene (NHC)-mediated ring-opening polymerization of *N*-decyl-*N*-carboxyanhydride monomer (De-NCA) has been shown to occur in a controlled manner, yielding cyclic poly(*N*-decylglycine)s (*c*-PNDGs) with polymer molecular weights (MW) between 4.8 and 31 kg mol^{−1} and narrow molecular weight distributions (PDI < 1.15). The reaction exhibits pseudo-first-order kinetics with respect to monomer concentration. The polymer MW increases linearly with conversion, consistent with a living polymerization. ESI MS and SEC analyses confirm the cyclic architectures of the forming polymers. DSC and WAXS studies reveal that the *c*-PNDG homopolymers are highly crystalline with two prominent first-order transitions at 72–79 °C (*T*_{m,1}) and 166–177 °C (*T*_{m,2}), which have been attributed to side chain and main chain melting, respectively. A series of amphiphilic cyclic diblock copolypeptoids [i.e., poly(*N*-methylglycine)-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine) (*c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG)] with variable molecular weight and composition were synthesized by sequential NHC-mediated polymerization of the corresponding *N*-methyl-*N*-carboxyanhydride (Me-NCA) and De-NCA monomers. ¹H NMR analysis reveals that adjusting the initial monomer to NHC molar ratio can readily control the block copolymer chain length and composition. Time-lapsed light scattering and cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) analyses of *c*-PNDG-*b*-PNMG samples showed that the amphiphilic cyclic block copolypeptoids self-assemble into spherical micelles that reorganize into micrometer-long cylindrical micelles with uniform diameter in room temperature methanol over the course of several days. An identical morphological transition has also been noted for the linear analogues, which occurs more rapidly than for the cyclic copolypeptoids. We tentatively attribute this difference to the different crystallization kinetics of the solvophobic block (i.e., PNDG) in the cyclic and linear block copolypeptoids.



INTRODUCTION

Cyclic polymers exhibit physical properties that are distinctly different from their linear analogues both in the solid state and in solution.^{1,2} Without chain ends, cyclic polymers are physically more compact, as manifested by a smaller radius of gyration (*R*_g) in good and theta solvents, as well as a reduced intrinsic viscosity relative to their linear counterparts.^{3–5} They also have reduced conformational freedom as compared to their linear analogues, and this feature contributes to their diminished dynamics in porous media^{6,7} and promotes polymer crystallization⁸ and novel micellar morphologies^{9–12} and stability.¹³

Recent advancements in synthetic methodologies have led to a renaissance in cyclic polymers, allowing their fundamental properties and potential utilities to be explored. Cyclic polymers are most commonly synthesized by (1) end-to-end coupling of the linear precursors, (2) ring–chain equilibrium, or (3) ring expansion polymerization.¹ The first approach requires high dilution conditions, thereby limiting the synthetic efficiency. The second approach is unsuitable for accessing high molecular

weight cyclic polymers because the ring–chain equilibrium is unfavorably biased toward the linear species as the degree of polymerization increases.¹⁴ The third approach has successfully produced high molecular weight cyclic polymers in high efficiency and purity without requiring dilution. The cyclic architecture is enforced by the molecular design of the cyclic catalytic species where the entropic penalty for cyclization is paid in advance^{15–19} or by a zwitterionic polymerization pathway where the propagating chain ends are kept in close proximity throughout the polymerization reaction by ionic interactions.^{20–25}

While the above-mentioned approaches can afford cyclic homopolymers, their utility for the synthesis of well-defined cyclic multiblock copolymers has not been extensively explored. Some of the ring expansion polymerization methods are unsuited for block copolymer synthesis due to either rapid chain termination

Received: September 14, 2011

Revised: November 10, 2011

Published: November 29, 2011

or interchain transfer.^{18,22} While high dilution conditions limit the synthetic efficiency of end-to-end coupling of linear block copolymer precursors, the approach is versatile with respect to providing block copolymers with diverse structures.^{26,27} A recent report showed that one could bypass the need for high dilution by forcing specially designed linear triblock precursors to self-assemble into micelles, thereby allowing end-to-end coupling to occur at the micelle interface.²⁸

Polypeptoids, featuring an *N*-substituted polyglycine backbone, are structural mimics of polypeptides. While polypeptides adopt secondary structures (e.g., helix or sheet) that are stabilized by intra- or intermolecular hydrogen bonding, the folding of polypeptoids into well-defined secondary structures is dictated by side chain stereoelectronic effects.^{24,29–33} Studies conducted on oligomeric peptoids ($n < 20$) have demonstrated their biocompatibility, enhanced enzymatic stability,^{34–36} and cell permeability relative to polypeptides.³⁷ They have been extensively investigated for biological and therapeutic applications.^{34,35,38–41} Recent investigations into the self-assembly of diblock peptoid oligomers and alternating peptoid oligomers have demonstrated the formation of novel homochiral superhelices and two-dimensional bimolecular sheets.^{42,43} In contrast to peptoid oligomers, polypeptoids or peptoid-based polymers are emerging as a new class of polymers^{24,25,44} with potential biomedical uses owing to their demonstrated biocompatibility^{45,46} and possible backbone degradability as well as their structural analogy to existing bio-inspired polymers such as poly(2-oxazoline)s and polypeptides.⁴⁷ Unlike polypeptides, the lack of extensive hydrogen bonding makes polypeptoids amenable to thermal processing techniques that are commonly used for thermoplastics.⁴⁸ In summary, the combination of these features makes polypeptoids attractive peptidomimetic materials that are potentially useful for various biomedical applications (e.g., drug delivery and tissue engineering).

Cyclic oligomeric peptoids having controlled sequences have also been synthesized by end-to-end coupling of their linear precursors through stepwise solid-state synthesis methods.^{49–51} These cyclic peptoids exhibit enhanced conformational homogeneity relative to their linear analogues.^{49–51} However, the ring size is limited in this coupling method. We have recently discovered that *N*-heterocyclic carbenes (NHC) can initiate the zwitterionic polymerization of *N*-substituted *N*-carboxyanhydride in a quasi-living manner to yield cyclic polypeptoids with large ring size ($DP = 400$) and narrow molecular weight distribution ($PDI < 1.1$).²⁴ Further, cyclic polypeptoids with random coil²⁴ or PPI helical conformations²⁵ can be readily accessed, and this method has not been extensively explored for block copolypeptoid synthesis. In this regard, Luxenhofer and co-workers have recently reported the synthesis of linear amphiphilic diblock copolypeptoids by primary amine-initiated polymerization of *N*-substituted *N*-carboxyanhydrides.⁴⁴

In this contribution, we report the NHC-mediated zwitterionic polymerization of *N*-decyl-*N*-carboxyanhydride (De-NCA) and the synthesis of cyclic poly(*N*-methylglycine)-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine)s, an amphiphilic cyclic diblock copolypeptoid, by sequential monomer addition. Time-lapsed light scattering and cryo-TEM analysis of selected cyclic diblock copolypeptoids reveals the initial formation of spherical micelles in room temperature methanol, followed by the reorganization of these micelles into micrometer-long cylindrical micelles of uniform diameter over the course of several days. An identical morphological transition has been noted for linear analogues independently prepared by the primary amine-initiated polymerization of

Me-NCA and De-NCA; however, the morphological transition occurs more rapidly for the linear polymers than for their cyclic counterparts.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. All the solvents used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and purified by passing through alumina columns under argon. Other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-400 spectrometer, and the chemical shifts in parts per million (ppm) were referenced relative to protio impurities or the ¹³C isotopes of CDCl₃, CD₃OD, C₆D₅CD₃, or CF₃COOD. *N*-Decyl-*N*-carboxyanhydride (De-NCA, M₁),²⁴ *N*-methyl-*N*-carboxyanhydride (Me-NCA, M₂),²⁴ and 2,6-diisopropylphenylimidazol-2-ylidene (NHC)⁵² were synthesized by adapting literature procedures.

Instrumentations. ESI MS spectra were collected using a Waters Synapt HDMS quadrupole/time-of-flight (Q/TOF) mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, MA) under the following experimental conditions: ESI capillary voltage, 3.5 kV; sample cone voltage, 35 V; extraction cone voltage, 3.2 V; desolvation gas flow, 500 L h⁻¹ (N₂); trap collision energy (CE), 6 eV; transfer CE, 4 eV; trap gas flow, 1.5 mL min⁻¹ (Ar); IM gas flow, 22.7 mL min⁻¹ (N₂); sample flow rate, 5 μ L min⁻¹; source temperature, 40 or 100 °C; desolvation temperature, 60 or 100 °C. The sprayed solutions were prepared by dissolving 0.3 mg of sample in 1 mL of CHCl₃/MeOH (v/v, 50/50). Data analysis was conducted using Waters MassLynx 4.1.

SEC analyses for the poly(*N*-methylglycine)s (PNMGs) were conducted using an Agilent 1200 system equipped with three Phenomenex 5 μ m, 300 $\times$ 7.8 mm columns, a Wyatt DAWN EOS multiangle light scattering (MALS) detector (GaAs, 30 mW laser at $\lambda = 690$ nm), and a Wyatt OptilabREX differential refractive index (DRI) detector with a 690 nm light source. DMF containing 0.1 M LiBr was used as the eluent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The column temperature was 50 °C, and the detector temperature was 25 °C. All data analyses were conducted using Wyatt Astra V 5.3 software. Polymer molecular weight (MW) and molecular weight distribution (PDI) were obtained by the Zimm model fit of the MALS-DRI data. The absolute molecular weights of the cyclic and linear PNMGS were determined using the reported dn/dc values [i.e., 0.0991 (8) and 0.0987 (17) mL g⁻¹ in DMF/0.1 M LiBr, respectively].²⁴

SEC analyses for the poly(*N*-decylglycine)s (PNDGs) were conducted using an Agilent 1100 system (a Gastorr 704 degasser, isocratic pump, and Agilent 1100 auto sampler) equipped with two Phenomenex 10 μ m, 300 $\times$ 7.8 mm columns [10⁵ Å, linear MXM, and guard column], a Wyatt DAWN DSP-F multiangle light scattering (MALS) detector (a He–Ne 5 mW laser at $\lambda = 632.8$ nm), and an Agilent 1200 differential refractive index (DRI) detector. THF containing 250 ppm BHT (2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol) was used as the elution solvent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The columns were kept at room temperature. The temperatures of the MALS and DRI detector were room temperature and 35 °C, respectively. All data analyses were performed using Wyatt Astra V 4.7 software. The MW and PDI of polymers were obtained by the Zimm model fit of MALS-DRI data. The absolute molecular weights of the cyclic and linear PNDGs were determined using the experimentally measured dn/dc values [i.e., 0.0876 (9) and 0.0863 (5) mL g⁻¹ in THF at 35 °C, respectively].

The refractive index increment (dn/dc) of cyclic or linear PNDGs was measured using Wyatt's rEX DRI detector and Astra software dn/dc template. The polymers were purified by dissolving in THF and twice precipitated by the addition of methanol. Six THF solutions with different and precisely known PNDG concentrations (0.25–2.0 mg mL⁻¹) were prepared and injected to the DRI detector. The dn/dc value was obtained from the linear fit to a plot of refractive index versus polymer concentration.

DSC studies of a series of cyclic PNDGs were conducted using a TA DSC 2920 calorimeter under nitrogen. Powder samples sealed into the aluminum pans were first heated from room temperature to 250 at 10 °C min⁻¹, cooled to 0 at 5 °C min⁻¹, and second heated to 250 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹.

WAXS experiments were conducted on the synchrotron beamline at the Center for Advanced Microstructures and Devices (CAMD, Baton Rouge, LA). Solid samples were annealed at 200 °C under vacuum for 4 days. X-ray scattering patterns were collected under vacuum for 30 min using an image plate with a resolution of 200 μm per pixel (Molecular Dynamics storage phosphor screen with an active area of 20 × 25 cm). The wavelength of the X-ray beam was 1.55 Å. The sample-to-detector distance was 193.1 mm, giving a q range of 0.1–2.5 Å⁻¹. WAXS data were reduced using FIT2D 12.077 developed by Dr. Andy Hammersley with the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF).¹⁵ The domain spacing, d_{Bragg} , was calculated using Bragg's law: $d = 2\pi/q$, $q = 4\pi \sin(\theta)/\lambda$, where θ is the angle between the incident beam and the scattering planes.

Cryo-TEM experiments were conducted on a Tecnai G2 12 Twin TEM operating at 120 keV, with a Gatan digital camera and analyzed using GatanDigitalMicrograph software. Samples were prepared on holey carbon-coated 400 mesh copper grids, glow discharged in a plasma cleaner to render the carbon film hydrophilic. Grids were prepared using a FEI Vitrobot. The polymer solution (2–4 μL) was pipetted on to the carbon-coated side. The grids were then blotted to remove excess solution and plunged into liquid ethane near its freezing point, resulting in a vitrified thin film (~100–300 nm). Grids were then transferred to a Gatan cryo-stage for analysis.

A representative procedure for cryo-TEM sample preparation is as follows. The block copolymer was mixed in methanol at 1 mg mL⁻¹. Then the mixture was heated to 70–80 °C and held at the temperature for 40 min in an oil bath; the opaque suspension became transparent upon heating. The solution was slowly cooled in an oil bath to room temperature. The copolymer solution then was passed through a 0.2 μm filter (Whatman, PTFE membrane) and centrifuged at 14 000 rpm for 30 min. Finally, the solution was passed through a 0.02 μm filter (Anotop 25) and analyzed at varying time intervals over a period of 0–15 days (sample incubated at room temperature).

Light scattering measurements were taken using a Lexel Laser Inc. 488 nm laser operating at 100 mW coupled with a Brookhaven Instruments Corp. goniometer. Data were collected at 90°, and the scattered intensity (turbidity) was measured over the first 2 days after sample preparation.

Synthesis of 2-(*n*-Decylamino)acetic Acid Hydrochloride (1). Glyoxylic acid (14.8 g, 161 mmol) was stirred with *n*-decylamine (16 mL, 80.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (400 mL) for 24 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under vacuum to yield a yellow viscous liquid to which aqueous HCl (400 mL, 1 M) was added. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 20 h. The water was removed by rotary evaporation to afford a white solid which was further purified by recrystallization from methanol/diethyl ether at 0 °C (14.2 g, 70% yield). ¹H NMR (δ in DMSO, 400 MHz, ppm): 0.85 ppm (t, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 1.24 ppm (m, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 1.61 ppm (m, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 2.86 ppm (m, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 3.81 ppm (s, -COCH₂-); 9.22 ppm (s, HNHCl). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (δ in DMSO, 100 MHz, ppm): 14.0 (CH₃(CH₂)₈CH₂-); 22.1 (CH₃CH₂(CH₂)₇CH₂-); 25.2 (CH₃CH₂CH₂(CH₂)₆CH₂-); 26.0 (CH₃(CH₂)₂CH₂(CH₂)₅CH₂-); 28.5 (CH₃-(CH₂)₃CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₂-); 28.7 (CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂-); 28.8 (CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂-); 28.9 (CH₃(CH₂)₆CH₂CH₂CH₂-); 31.3 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 46.7 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-&-COCH₂-); 168.0 (HOCO-).

Synthesis of 2-(*N,N*-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-*n*-decylamino)acetic Acid (2). A mixture of 1 (13 g, 52 mmol), di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (28.2 g, 129 mmol), and triethylamine (36 mL, 258 mmol) in distilled water


(200 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with hexane (2 × 200 mL) to remove any unreacted di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate. The aqueous phase was separated, acidified with aqueous HCl (50 mL, 4 M), and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 100 mL). The organic phase was then separated, washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. Subsequent filtration and solvent removal afforded pale yellow oil (11.7 g, 72% yield). ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm): 0.75 (t, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 1.15 (m, CH₃-(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 1.29 and 1.34 (s, -(CH₃)₃); 1.38 (m, CH₃-(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 3.13 (m, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 3.86 and 3.76 (s, -COCH₂-). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (δ in CDCl₃, 100 MHz, ppm): 14.1 (CH₃(CH₂)₈CH₂-); 22.7 (CH₃CH₂(CH₂)₇CH₂-); 26.8 (CH₃CH₂CH₂(CH₂)₆CH₂-); 28.1 (CH₃(CH₂)₂CH₂(CH₂)₅CH₂-); 28.3 and 28.4 (-(CH₃)₃); 29.3 (CH₃(CH₂)₃CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₂-); 29.4 (CH₃-(CH₂)₄CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂-); 29.6 (CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂-); 29.7 (CH₃(CH₂)₆CH₂CH₂CH₂-); 31.9 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 48.4 and 49.0 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-&-COCH₂-); 80.5 (-(OC(CH₃)₃); 155.4 and 156.3 (-(NCOO-); 175.2 and 175.5 (HOCO-).

Synthesis of *N*-Decyl-*N*-carboxyanhydride (M₁). Compound 2 (10.3 g, 32.8 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (250 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled to 0 °C, and phosphorus trichloride (2.5 mL, 26.2 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h, and then the volatiles were removed under vacuum. Inside the glovebox, the solid residue was extracted with anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and layered with hexane to recrystallize at -20 °C overnight. White crystals were isolated by filtration and further purified by sublimation (4.5 g, 57% yield). ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃, ppm): 0.87 (t, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 1.25 (m, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 1.58 (m, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 3.38 (t, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 4.09 (s, -COCH₂-). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (δ in CDCl₃, 100 MHz, ppm): 14.2 (CH₃(CH₂)₈CH₂-); 22.8 (CH₃CH₂(CH₂)₇CH₂-); 26.6 (CH₃CH₂CH₂(CH₂)₆CH₂-); 27.4 (CH₃(CH₂)₂CH₂(CH₂)₅CH₂-); 29.2 (CH₃-(CH₂)₃CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₂-); 29.4 (CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂-); 29.6 [CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂-; CH₃(CH₂)₆CH₂CH₂CH₂-]; 32.0 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 43.8 (CH₃(CH₂)₈CH₂-); 49.0 (-(COCH₂-); 152.2 (-(NCOOCO-); 165.9 (-(NCOOCO-).

Representative Synthetic Procedure for the Cyclic Poly(*N*-decylglycine)s (c-PNDGs). In the glovebox, M₁ (270 mg, 1.1 mmol, [M₁]₀ = 0.4 M) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (2.5 mL). A known volume of NHC/THF stock solution (246 μL, 11 μmol, 45.5 mM, [M₁]:[NHC]₀ = 100:1) was added to the monomer solution. Polymerization was allowed to proceed at 70 °C for 24 h under nitrogen and then quenched by the addition of cold methanol. The white precipitate was collected and washed with ample methanol and hexane to remove any unreacted monomer or initiator. Drying under vacuum yielded a white solid (180 mg, 82% yield). ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃/CF₃COOD, 400 MHz, ppm): 0.87 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 1.25 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 1.60 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 2.95–3.29 (CH₃N-); 3.28 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 4.00 (-(COCH₂-). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (δ in CDCl₃/CF₃COOD, 100 MHz, ppm): 14.2 (CH₃(CH₂)₈CH₂-); 22.8 (CH₃CH₂-(CH₂)₇CH₂-); 26.8 (CH₃CH₂CH₂(CH₂)₆CH₂-); 28.1 (CH₃(CH₂)₂CH₂(CH₂)₅CH₂-); 29.4 and 29.7 [CH₃(CH₂)₃CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₂-; CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂-]; 32.1 (CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CH₂-); 48.2 (CH₃(CH₂)₈CH₂-); 49.7 (-(NCOCH₂-); 170.2 (-(NCOCH₂-).

Representative Synthetic Procedure for the Cyclic Poly(*N*-methylglycine)-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine) Block Copolymers (c-PNMG-*b*-PNDGs). In the glovebox, Me-NCA (M₂) (118 mg, 1.02 mmol, [M₂]₀ = 0.4 M) was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (2.5 mL). A known volume of NHC/THF stock solution (450 μL, 20 μmol, 45.5 mM, [M₂]₀:[NHC]₀ = 50:1) was added using a syringe. Polymerization was allowed to proceed for 24 h at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. Aliquots of the reaction mixture were taken and analyzed for conversion by ¹H NMR and polymer MW by SEC.

Scheme 1



Then, an acetonitrile solution (2.5 mL) containing De-NCA (M_1) (247 mg, 1.02 mmol, $[M_1]_0 = 0.4$ M, $[M_1]_0:[NHC]_0 = 50:1$) was added into the above reaction mixture, which was heated at 70 °C for an additional 48 h to reach complete conversion. The polymer product was precipitated by the addition of methanol and collected by filtration. Following filtration, the polymer was washed with ample methanol and followed by THF to remove any unreacted monomers or homopolymers and dried under vacuum (218 mg, 79% yield). For the copolymers that contain high molar fractions of PNMGS (e.g., $[M_2]_0:[M_1]_0:[NHC]_0 = 100:10:1$), the polymer products were obtained by precipitation in hexane and washed with ample THF. ^1H NMR (δ in $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CF}_3\text{COOD}$, 400 MHz, ppm): 0.87 ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-$); 1.25 ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-$); 1.60 ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-$); 2.9–3.2 ($\text{CH}_3\text{N}-$); 3.28 ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-$); 4.09–4.45 ($-\text{COCH}_2-$). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (δ in $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CF}_3\text{COOD}$, 100 MHz, ppm): 14.0 ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_8\text{CH}_2-$); 22.6 ($\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}_2-$); 26.6 ($\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_6\text{CH}_2-$); 27.9 ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{CH}_2-$); 29.2 [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{CH}_2-$, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}_2-$]; 29.4 [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{CH}_2-$, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_6\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-$]; 31.8 ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-$); 36.1 ($\text{CH}_3\text{N}-$); 47.8 ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_8\text{CH}_2-$); 49.3–50.4 ($-\text{NCOCH}_2-$); 170.0 ($-\text{NCOCH}_2-$).

Synthetic Procedure for the Linear Poly(*N*-methylglycine)₁₁₂-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine)₁₆ Block Copolymer (*I*-PNMG₁₁₂-*b*-PNDG₁₆). The butylamine initiator used in this synthesis was stirred over CaH_2 for 24 h and distilled under vacuum. In the glovebox, Me-NCA (M_2) (156 mg, 1.36 mmol, $[M_2]_0 = 0.4$ M) was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (3.3 mL). A known volume of BuNH_2 /THF stock solution (134 μL , 14 μmol , 101.2 mM, $[M_2]_0:[NHC]_0 = 100:1$) was added using a syringe. Polymerization was allowed to proceed for 24 h at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. Aliquots of the reaction mixture were taken and analyzed for conversion by ^1H NMR and polymer MW by SEC. Then, an acetonitrile solution (0.5 mL) containing De-NCA (M_1) (49 mg, 0.2 mmol, $[M_1]_0 = 0.4$ M, $[M_1]_0:[NHC]_0 = 15:1$) was added into the above reaction mixture, which was heated at 70 °C for an additional 48 h to reach complete conversion. The polymer product was precipitated by the addition of hexane and collected by filtration. Following filtration, the polymer was further washed with ample THF and MeOH to remove any unreacted monomers or homopolymers and dried under vacuum (105 mg, 77% yield). The ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of linear PNMG-*b*-PNDGs appear identical to those of their cyclic counterparts.

Kinetic Studies of NHC-Mediated Ring-Opening Polymerization of M_2 . Polymerization was conducted in toluene- d_8 at 70 °C inside a sealed J-Young NMR tube. ^1H NMR spectra were collected at constant time intervals for more than four half-lives. Conversions were determined by integrating the four methylene proton resonances of the monomer at 2.7–3.0 ppm (k and j in Figure S1) against those of the polymer at 3.4–3.7 and 4.0–4.2 ppm (k' and j' in Figure S1). Kinetic experiments were repeated twice for each polymerization condition.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Characterization of Monomer and Cyclic Poly(*N*-decylglycine)s(*c*-PNDGs). De-NCA (M_2) has been synthesized in good overall yield (50–60%) by adapting a




Figure 1. ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of De-NCA (M_1) in CDCl_3 .

previously reported procedure (Scheme 1)²⁴ and purified by sublimation prior to polymerization. The desired monomer structure has been unambiguously verified by ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure 1).


We have previously demonstrated the *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) can mediate the zwitterionic polymerization of *N*-butyl-*N*-carboxyanhydride (Bu-NCA) in a quasi-living manner to yield cyclic poly(*N*-butylglycine)s.²⁴ To ascertain that the polymerization of De-NCA (M_1) occurs in a controlled manner, polymerization of M_1 with various initial $[M_1]_0:[NHC]_0$ ratio has been conducted (Table 1). The reactions were allowed to proceed in THF at 70 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere until of all the monomer was consumed. Reaction aliquots were taken and analyzed by ^1H NMR spectroscopy to verify the conversion. The polymers were isolated by precipitation in cold methanol and dried under vacuum prior to further analysis.

The polymer structure has been characterized by ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2 and Figure S3) and ESI MS spectrometry (Figure 3). The solubility of the dry polymer in CDCl_3 is limited and can be substantially improved by a few drops of CF_3COOD . All proton resonances appear broad, and their relative integrations are consistent with the desired polymer backbone structure (Scheme 2). ESI MS analysis of a low-MW sample reveals one major (e, Figure 3) and four minor envelopes of doubly charged ions (a–d, Figure 3). The mass of the major set of ion corresponds to the sum of an integer number of the PNDG repeating unit mass (197.18), one NHC mass (388.288),

Table 1. NHC-Mediated Zwitterionic Polymerizations of M_1 ^a

entry no.	$[M_1]_0/[I]_0$	reaction time (h)	conv (%)	$M_n(\text{theor})^b$ (kg mol ⁻¹)	$M_n(\text{SEC})^c$ (kg mol ⁻¹)	$M_n(\text{NMR})^d$ (kg mol ⁻¹)	PDI ^c
1	25	8	89	4.8	4.8	5.6	1.14
2	50	16	93	9.6	10.2	11.1	1.03
3	100	16	91	18.3	20.4	20.0	1.15
4	200	21	83	33.1	31.0		1.09

^a Polymerizations were conducted in THF at 70 °C with $[M_1]_0 = 0.4$ M. SEC analyses were conducted by directly injecting the polymerization solutions into SEC columns after the designated reaction time. ^b Theoretical molecular weights were calculated based on conversion and the $[M_1]_0/[NHC]_0$ ratio. ^c Determined by a tandem SEC-MALS-DRI system using the dn/dc [0.0876(9) mL g⁻¹] in THF at room temperature. ^d Determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Entry 4: the NHC content of the high-MW PNDG is too low to be accurately integrated, and hence its MW cannot be reliably determined by ¹H NMR.

**Figure 2.** ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of a high-MW cyclic PNDG in CDCl₃/CF₃COOD.

and two proton ion masses (2.02), consistent with the zwitterionic or spirocyclic PNDG with a NHC affixed to the chain end (Scheme 2).^{24,53} One minor set of ions (c, Figure 3) corresponds to the same *c*-PNDG structure that is ionized by a potassium ion. Mass analysis of the other three minor sets of ions reveals several PNDG species with different end groups (a, b, d, Figure 3). The PNDGs bearing carboxyl and amino chain ends (a, Figure 3) are likely to be formed by M_1 polymerization that is initiated by residual water, whereas species b (Figure 3) is presumably formed by end-to-end cyclization of the zwitterionic propagating species prior to CO₂ liberation (Scheme 2). While it has been demonstrated that NHC moieties can be readily eliminated from the NHC-terminated poly(ethylene oxide) zwitterions by reaction with nucleophiles (e.g., water or alcohol)

in the NHC-mediated polymerization of ethylene oxide,⁵⁴ we have found that treatment of NHC–polypeptoid adduct (*c*-PNDG, Scheme 2) with excess water did not result in increased formation of linear PNDGs (a, Figure 3).

Though the ESI MS results suggest that the cyclic PNDGs with one NHC affixed to the chain end are the dominant species from the NHC-mediated polymerization of M_2 , high-MW polymers cannot be analyzed by this method due to their poor ionization efficiency. To verify the architecture of high-MW PNDG samples, SEC analysis of a high-MW *c*-PNDG ($M_n = 20.4$ kg mol⁻¹, PDI = 1.20) and its linear analogue ($M_n = 20.8$ kg mol⁻¹, PDI = 1.09) has been conducted (Figure S2). Both samples exhibit monomodal SEC chromatograms with the *c*-PNDG sample eluting with a longer retention time than its linear

analogue, consistent with the former having a cyclic architecture and thus a smaller hydrodynamic volume than the latter.

The PNDGs prepared from the NHC-mediated polymerization of M_1 with different $[M_1]_0:[NHC]_0$ ratio have been analyzed by SEC-MALS-DRI. We note that it is difficult to completely redissolve the dry polymer in THF, even with heating, and the strong tendency of the polymer to aggregate causes erroneous and irreproducible SEC results. However, the aggregation is greatly diminished when the polymerization solution (in THF) is directly injected into SEC columns, which leads to reproducible SEC chromatograms (Figure 4). SEC-MALS-DRI analysis of the polymers reveals a systematic increase of polymer MW as the $[M_1]_0:[NHC]_0$ ratio is raised (Table 1 and Figure 4). Furthermore, the experimental MWs determined by SEC are in good agreement with the theoretical MWs based on a NHC-initiated living polymerization. The SEC chromatograms are monomodal for all polymer MWs ($4.8\text{--}31\text{ kg mol}^{-1}$), and the molecular weight distribution remains reasonably narrow ($PDI = 1.03\text{--}1.15$). The polymer MWs also have been determined by 1H NMR analysis. Specifically, integration of the two methylene proton resonances of the PNDG repeating unit (j in Figure S3) relative to the four methine proton resonances of the NHC (m in Figure S3) yields the number-average degree of polymerization, assuming each polymer chain has one affixed NHC. The MWs obtained by

1H NMR analysis also agree reasonably well with the theoretical MWs based on single-site initiation by NHCs.

Polymerization kinetics were investigated at three different initial NHC concentrations (i.e., $[NHC]_0 = 3, 8,$ and 16 mM) and a constant initial monomer to initiator ratio ($[M_1]_0:[NHC]_0 = 50:1$) (Figure 5A). All reactions exhibit a first-order dependence on the monomer concentration (i.e., $d[M_1]/dt = k_{obs}[M_1]$), consistent with a living polymerization. As the initial NHC concentration ($[NHC]_0$) increases from 3 to 8 to 16 mM , the observed rate constant (k_{obs}) increases from $0.62 (\pm 5)$ to $1.74 (\pm 10)$ and $2.77 (\pm 6)\text{ h}^{-1}$ (Figure 5B). The plot of k_{obs} against the initial NHC concentration reveals a linear relationship [i.e., $k_{obs} = k_p[NHC]_0$, propagation rate constant $k_p = 178(9)\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ h}^{-1}$], indicative of single-site initiation by the NHC. Additionally, the plot of polymer MW as a function of conversion gives a linear relationship (Figure S4), suggesting a constant concentration of propagating species, consistent with a living polymerization. The molecular weight distribution ($PDI = 1.03\text{--}1.22$) also remains narrow throughout the reaction.

The thermal properties of the different molecular weight c -PNDGs have been investigated by DSC. DSC thermograms reveal two prominent first-order exothermic transitions in the first cooling cycle ($T_{c,1} = 47\text{--}51^\circ\text{C}$, $T_{c,2} = 135\text{--}141^\circ\text{C}$) (Figure S5 and Table S1) and two first-order endothermic transitions in the second heating cycle ($T_{m,1} = 72\text{--}79^\circ\text{C}$, $T_{m,2} = 166\text{--}176^\circ\text{C}$) (Figure 6A). No notable secondary transition was found in either cycle under the experimental conditions. Based on the magnitude of the enthalpic change ($\Delta H_{m,1} = 35\text{--}39\text{ J g}^{-1}$ and $\Delta H_{m,2} = 42\text{--}55\text{ J g}^{-1}$) associated with the transitions, these are attributed to polymer crystallization and melting. In contrast, a previous crystallization study of oligomeric peptoids with shorter alkyl side chains (e.g., n -butyl, n -hexyl, and n -octyl) revealed only one melting transition ($T_m = 155\text{--}220^\circ\text{C}$).⁴⁸ As a result, we tentatively attribute the two melting




Figure 3. Representative ESI MS spectra of a low-MW cyclic PNDG sample synthesized from the NHC-mediated ring-opening polymerization of M_2 .

Scheme 2





Figure 4. SEC chromatograms of the cyclic PNDGs prepared from NHC-mediated polymerization of M_1 ($[M_1]_0:[NHC]_0 = 25:1$ (A), $50:1$ (B), $100:1$ (C), and $200:1$ (D), Table 1).




Figure 5. (A) Plots of $\ln([M]_0/[M])$ versus the reaction time and their linearly fitted curves for the NHC-mediated polymerizations of M_2 at 70 °C in toluene- d_8 at three different initial NHC concentrations (i.e., $[NHC]_0 = 3$ (●), 8 (▲), 16 mM (■)) and a constant initial monomer to NHC concentration (i.e., $[M]_0:[NHC]_0 = 50:1$). (B) Plot of the observed rate constant (k_{obs}) versus the initial NHC concentration and the linearly fitted curve.




Figure 6. (A) Second heating DSC thermograms of *c*-PNDGs having different molecular weights. (B) Plots of two melting points ($T_{m,1}$, $T_{m,2}$) as a function of polymer molecular weights.

transitions ($T_{m,1} = 72\text{--}79$ °C, $T_{m,2} = 166\text{--}176$ °C) to crystalline packing of the *c*-PNDG side chains and the main chains, respectively. Alkyl side chains longer than 10 carbons are presumably required for crystalline packing of the side chain in peptoid materials. Furthermore, the two melting transitions exhibit a clear molecular weight dependence, with the melting transition due to side chain packing ($T_{m,1}$) moving to lower temperature and the melting transition due to main chain packing ($T_{m,2}$) shifting to higher temperature as the polymer MW increases (Figure 6B). This suggests that increasing the polymer chain length promotes the packing of the main chains and inhibits the packing of the side chains.

The highly crystalline nature of the *c*-PNDGs also has been confirmed by variable-temperature WAXS analysis. At room temperature, a sharp principal scattering peak ($d = 24$ Å) together with second- and third-order reflections are found for the thermally annealed *c*-PNDG ($M_n = 7.7$ kg mol $^{-1}$, PDI = 1.26) (Figure 7A), attesting to a lamellar packing of the crystalline domain. The principal domain spacing is approximately twice the length of fully extended decyl group (i.e., 12.4 Å), consistent with the lamellar axis being parallel with the carbon chain of decyl group (Figure 7B). The peaks at $q = 1.40$ and 1.75 Å $^{-1}$ ($d = 4.5$ and 3.6 Å) have been assigned to the in-plane diffractions off the polypeptoid main chains, where the interchain distance is 4.5 Å and the distance between adjacent repeating units is 3.6 Å, in agreement with those previously reported for oligomeric peptoids.^{42,43} The peak at $q = 2.2$ Å $^{-1}$ ($d = 2.9$ Å $^{-1}$) is

Table 2. Melting Temperatures and Heat of Fusion of *c*-PNDGs Having Different MWs

entry no.	M_n (kg mol $^{-1}$)	$T_{m,1}$ (°C)	$T_{m,2}$ (°C)	$\Delta H_{m,1}$ (J g $^{-1}$)	$\Delta H_{m,2}$ (J g $^{-1}$)
1	7.7	78	167	39	49
2	13.5	77	174	39	55
3	24.3	73	176	35	42
4	63.2	72	175	37	42

therefore assigned to the (110) diffraction of an orthorhombic cell ($a = 4.5$ Å, $b = 3.6$ Å, $c = 24$ Å).⁴³ In comparison, WAXS analysis of the as-prepared *c*-PNDG ($M_n = 7.7$ kg mol $^{-1}$, PDI = 1.26) without thermal annealing at room temperature (Figure S6) reveals a diffraction pattern analogous to that of the annealed sample (Figure 7A), except that the second- and third-order reflections associated the principal scattering peaks ($d = 24$ Å) are significantly weakened, suggesting that thermal annealing promotes the long-range lamellar packing. At 100 °C, a temperature that is between the first and second melting transitions, no appreciable change was noted for the WAXS pattern of the as-prepared *c*-PNDG (Figure S6), suggesting that the observed diffraction peaks are all related to the polymer backbone crystallinity and the melting of side chains do not significantly affect the lamellar packing. As a result, the diffraction peaks at $q = 1.25$ Å $^{-1}$ ($d = 5.0$ Å) and $q = 1.51$ Å $^{-1}$ ($d = 4.2$ Å) are tentatively assigned





Figure 7. (A) WAXS diffractogram of a thermally annealed *c*-PNDG in the solid state ($M_n = 7.7 \text{ kg mol}^{-1}$, PDI = 1.26) at room temperature and (B) the proposed crystalline packing of *c*-PNDG based on WAXS data.

Scheme 3



to the diffraction by polypeptoid main chains that crystallize in different polymorphs, where the *d*-spacing corresponds to the interchain distance in these polymorphs. At 250 °C, which is above the second melting transition temperature, the WAXS diffractogram (Figure S6) exhibits two broad and featureless peaks, consistent with a lack of crystalline order due to complete polymer melting at this temperature.

Synthesis and Characterization of Cyclic Poly(*N*-methylglycine)-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine) Diblock Copolymers (*c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG). Sequential NHC-mediated polymerizations of Me-NCA (M_2) and De-NCA (M_1) were conducted to generate cyclic poly(*N*-methylglycine)-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine) diblock copolymers (*c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG)s (Scheme 3). The PNMG homopolymers have poor solubility in THF or toluene, the solvents commonly used in NHC-mediated polymerizations of *N*-substituted NCAs. While acetonitrile appears to be a good solvent for PNMGs, the solubility of the polymer decreases as the MW increases. To ensure that polymerization occurs in a homogeneous fashion, we targeted at a series of *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG block copolymers where the PNMG block length was kept constant [M_n (SEC-MALS-DRI) = 10.6 kg mol⁻¹, DP_n(¹H NMR) = 105, PDI = 1.04] and the PNDG block length was systematically increased. Under anaerobic conditions in room temperature acetonitrile, the NHC-mediated polymerization of M_2 ($[M_2]_0:[\text{NHC}]_0 = 100:1$, $[M_2]_0 = 0.4 \text{ M}$) required 24 h for quantitative conversion. Aliquots of the reaction mixture were taken to verify conversion and the number degree of polymerization by (¹H NMR spectroscopy) and polymer MW and PDI (SEC-MALS-DRI). Variable amounts of M_1 were subsequently introduced to the above reaction mixture, and the reaction was allowed to continue at 70 °C for another 48 h to reach full conversion. The diblock copolymers were isolated by precipitation with methanol and purified by washing with a mixture of methanol and THF. We have noted that *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDGs with high PNDG content become sparingly soluble in common

organic solvents once isolated and dried. This enables the removal of any homopolymer impurities by washing with selective solvents, i.e., methanol for PNMG and THF for PNDG. SEC-DRI analysis of a *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG sample with low PNDG content reveals an increase in the hydrodynamic size in comparison to the *c*-PNMG precursor, confirming successful enchainment by sequential monomer addition (Figure S7).

¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of the purified products are consistent with the desired backbone structure of the *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG block copolymers (Figure 8 and Figure S8). The NHC moieties remain attached to the block copolymers, as evidenced by the ¹H NMR analysis of a low-MW *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG sample (Figure S9). Polymer MWs of *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG diblock copolypeptoids with high PNDG content cannot be directly determined by SEC-MALS-DRI alone due to limited solubility and the strong tendency to aggregate in many common organic solvents (e.g., THF, CHCl₃, methanol, DMF). As a result, ¹H NMR spectroscopy has been used in conjunction with SEC-MALS-DRI to determine the molecular weight (M_n) of the block copolymers. Specifically, the molar ratios of the PNMG and PNDG repeating units were calculated by integrating the methyl proton resonance of PNMGs (*k*, Figure 8) against the methyl proton resonance of PNDGs (*j*, Figure 8). As the absolute MW (and PDI) of the PNMG block can be determined by SEC-MALS-DRI and ¹H NMR analysis,²⁴ the number-average molecular weight of the block copolymers (M_n) can be deduced. All ¹H NMR spectra of the block copolymers were collected in either CDCl₃/CF₃COOD or CD₃OD/CF₃COOD to ensure their complete solubility.

Table 3 summarizes the experimentally determined *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG block copolymer compositions and MWs. It is evident that *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDGs with variable PNDG block length can be readily prepared by adjusting the ratio of $[M_2]_0:[M_1]_0:[\text{NHC}]_0$. The copolymer MW and the relative weight fraction of the two blocks agree reasonably well with the theoretical prediction based on the $[M_2]_0:[M_1]_0:[\text{NHC}]_0$ ratio and conversion (Table 3). The deviation of MW from the theoretical value is more pronounced for high-MW polymers, with the copolymer having a longer PNDG block length than theoretically predicted (entry 4, Table 3). This increased deviation suggests the possibility of incomplete chain extension from the first PNMG block, presumably due to its limited solubility in the reaction medium.

Solution Self-Assembly of Cyclic Poly(*N*-methylglycine)-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine) Diblock Copolypeptoid (*c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG). The PNMG-*b*-PNDG block copolypeptoids exhibit strong tendencies for aggregation in common solvents. For example, we noted that a turbid methanol or aqueous suspension




Figure 8. ^1H NMR spectra of a series of cyclic diblock copolymers with various compositions: (1) PNMG₁₀₅-*b*-PNDG₁₀ in MeOD, and (2) PNMG₁₀₅-*b*-PNDG₂₅, (3) PNMG₁₀₅-*b*-PNDG₅₀, and (4) PNMG₁₀₅-*b*-PNDG₁₂₅ in $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CF}_3\text{COOD}$.

Table 3. Compositions and the Polymer MWs of Cyclic Poly(*N*-methylglycine)-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine) [*c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDG] Block Copolymers^a

entry no.	$[\text{M}_2]_0:[\text{M}_1]_0:[\text{NHC}]_0$	exptl composition ^b	$M_n(\text{theor})$ (kg mol^{-1}) ^c	$M_n(\text{expt})$ (kg mol^{-1}) ^b	$W_{\text{PNMG}}(\text{theor})^d$	$W_{\text{PNMG}}(\text{expt})^e$	yield (%)
1	100:10:1	PNMG ₁₀₅ - <i>b</i> -PNDG ₁₀	9.5	9.8	0.78	0.79	85
2	100:25:1	PNMG ₁₀₅ - <i>b</i> -PNDG ₂₅	12.4	12.8	0.59	0.60	84
3	100:50:1	PNMG ₁₀₅ - <i>b</i> -PNDG ₅₀	17.4	17.7	0.42	0.43	85
4	100:100:1	PNMG ₁₀₅ - <i>b</i> -PNDG ₁₂₅	27.2	32.5	0.26	0.23	82

^a Polymerizations of M_2 and M_1 were both allowed to reach full conversion. ^b The number-average degree of polymerization (DP_n) of the PNMG block was determined by ^1H NMR analysis, from which the PNMG molecular weights were deduced; the block copolymer compositions were determined by ^1H NMR analysis of *c*-PNMG-*b*-PNDGs, from which the copolymer molecular weights were deduced. ^c The theoretical molecular weights were determined from the $[\text{M}_2]_0:[\text{M}_1]_0:[\text{NHC}]_0$ ratio. ^d The theoretical weight fractions of the PNMG block were determined from the $[\text{M}_2]_0:[\text{M}_1]_0:[\text{NHC}]_0$ ratio. ^e The experimental weight fractions of the PNMG block were determined from the experimental compositions of the copolymers.

of *c*-PNMG₁₀₅-*b*-PDMG₁₀ becomes clear upon gentle heating and remains so for an extended period of time (>6 months) after cooling. To investigate the aggregate structures of the block copolypeptoids in methanol, a dilute methanol solution of *c*-PNMG₁₀₅-*b*-PDMG₁₀ (1 mg mL^{-1}) was characterized by both light scattering and cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) over a period of 15 days.

Light scattering analysis of the copolymer solutions in methanol (Figure S10) revealed an increase in turbidity over the first 2 days, suggesting an increase in size of the self-assembled structures. The linear copolypeptoid solution showed a more rapid increase in turbidity compared to the cyclic copolypeptoid solution. A kinetic cryo-TEM study of *c*-PNMG₁₀₅-*b*-PDMG₁₀ revealed the initial formation of spherical micelles in room temperature methanol solution (Figure 9A) within 30 min of sample preparation. After 1 h the spherical micelles remained; however, after 2 h the appearance of cylindrical micelles was evident (Figure 9B), which appeared to increase in length over time (Figure 9C). Over the course of the first 24 h the solution

remained a mix of spherical and cylindrical micelles as the spherical micelles continued to aggregate and form longer cylindrical micelles. After 7 days cylindrical micelles were very much the dominant species, which continued to increase in length over the course of the next 15 days, after which mostly micrometer length cylindrical micelles were evident in solution with a very small fraction of spherical micelles remaining (Figure 9C). The darker areas in the cryo-TEM images correspond to the PNDG-rich regions as a result of their higher electron density relative to that of the solvated PNMG blocks. Being solvophobic and highly crystalline, the PNDG blocks constitute the core of the micelles in methanol solution, while the solvophilic and amorphous PNMG blocks make up the shell of the micelles (Figure 9). The average core diameter of the spherical micelles is $10.3 (\pm 2.9) \text{ nm}$ at day 1 (Figure 9A), and the average core diameter of the cylindrical micelles is $12.2 (\pm 1.8) \text{ nm}$ at day 15 (Figure 9C). The evolution of spherical micelles into cylindrical micelles was also found for the linear poly(*N*-methylglycine)-*b*-poly(*N*-decylglycine) block copolypeptoid (*l*-PNMG₁₁₂-*b*-PNDG₁₆) that was




Figure 9. Representative cryo-TEM images obtained from dilute methanol solutions of the cyclic c -PNMG₁₀₅- b -PNDG₁₀ block copolypeptoid after 1 h (A), 2 h (B), and 15 days (C) and the linear PNMG₁₁₂- b -PNDG₁₆ block copolypeptoid after 1 h (D), 2 h (E), and 7 days (F) in methanol.

prepared from sequential BuNH₂-initiated polymerization of Me-NCA and De-NCA. Cryo-TEM analysis of l -PNMG₁₁₂- b -PNDG₁₆ reveals the morphological transition from spherical micelles [$d = 10.0 (\pm 1.6)$ nm] (Figure 9D) into cylindrical micelles [$d = 12.5 (\pm 1.7)$ nm] (Figure 9E,F) over a faster time scale than for the cyclic copolypeptoid. The analysis of l -PNMG₁₁₂- b -PNDG₁₆ revealed the cylindrical micelles were the dominant species after only 8 days, with no spherical micelles remaining in solution after the full 15 days. The different rates of transition in the cyclic and linear copolypeptoid solutions revealed in the cryo-TEM data (Figure 9) are consistent with the light scattering data (Figure S10) where a faster increase in turbidity was measured for the linear copolypeptoid relative to its cyclic counterparts.

The SEC-DRI chromatogram of a cyclic copolypeptoid (i.e., c -PNMG₁₀₅- b -PDMG₁₅) obtained after 17 days in room temperature methanol is identical to that of the original sample (Figure S11), suggesting the architecture of the cyclic copolypeptoids remain intact under these conditions. We tentatively attribute the crystallization of the PNDG hydrophobic core as the driving force for the morphological transition, which we believe is retarded in the cyclic copolypeptoid aggregates, leading to the slower structural transitions. Previous studies on the self-assembly of amorphous–crystalline diblock copolymers [i.e., polyisoprene- b -polyferrocenylsilane,^{55–58} poly(3-hexylthiophene)- b -poly(dimethylsiloxane),⁵⁹ poly(lactide)- b -poly(acrylic acid)⁶⁰] have shown that the formation of cylindrical micelles is the result of maximizing the packing of the short crystalline block. The cylindrical micelles undergo epitaxial growth in a living manner with the addition of the block copolymer unimers.^{55–58} In

contrast, cryo-TEM images of the block copolypeptoid samples taken between 1 h and 15 days reveal that spherical micelles are initially formed before merging into one another to generate the cylindrical micelles (Figure 9B,E) that continue to grow in length (Figure 9C,F), suggesting an aggregation-induced morphological transition.

While topological constraints have been shown to induce completely different micellar morphologies in the self-assembly of cyclic and linear polystyrene- b -polyisoprene (PS- b -PI) in n -heptane or n -decane, the two constituent blocks are both amorphous.^{9–12} To verify that the morphological transition observed in the c/l -PNMG- b -PNDG is due to crystallization of the solvophobic block (PNDG), the synthesis of amorphous–amorphous cyclic and linear diblock copolypeptoid analogues and investigation of their solution self-assembly behavior are currently in progress.

CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that NHC mediates the zwitterionic polymerization of N -decyl- N -carboxyanhydride (De-NCA) to afford cyclic poly(N -decylglycine) (c -PNDG) with controlled polypeptoid MW and narrow PDI. The reaction exhibits characteristics of a living polymerization and has been successfully extended toward the synthesis of amphiphilic cyclic block copolypeptoids [i.e., cyclic poly(N -methylglycine)- b -poly(N -decylglycine) (c -PNMG- b -PNDG)] by sequential monomer addition. While the exact composition of the block copolypeptoids appear to deviate somewhat from the theoretical values, the relative PNMG and PNDG content in the amphiphilic block copolypeptoids can be adjusted by controlling the initial monomer to NHC ratio and the conversion. This new class of cyclic amphiphilic block copolymers exhibits a strong tendency toward aggregation, forming discrete supramolecular assemblies (e.g., spherical or cylindrical micelles). The synthesis of these block copolypeptoids sets the foundation for systematic investigations of their self-assembly properties in solution and in the solid state. Their self-assembly also provides a means to access novel peptidomimetic materials of variable length scale and dynamics that are potentially useful for various biomedical applications, such as drug delivery carriers or bioactive coatings.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information. Representative ¹H NMR spectrum of a NHC-mediated polymerization of M₁ showing the formation of c -PNDG and unreacted M₁, SEC chromatograms of a high-MW c -PNDG sample and its linear analogue (l -PNDG), ¹H NMR spectrum of a low-MW c -PNDG in CDCl₃/CF₃COOD, plot of polymer molecular weight determined by SEC-MALS-DRI or ¹H NMR analysis, and PDI of c -PNDG versus conversion for the NHC-mediated polymerization of M₂, DSC thermograms of c -PNDGs having different molecular weight from the first cooling cycle, crystallization temperatures and heat of fusions of c -PNDGs having different MWs, WAXS diffractogram of c -PNDGs in the solid state at different temperature, SEC-DRI chromatograms of c -PNMG₁₀₅ and c -PNMG₁₀₅- b -PNDG₁₅ with low PNDG content, ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of c -PNMG₁₀₅- b -PNDG₅₀ in CDCl₃/CF₃COOD, ¹H NMR spectrum of a low-MW cyclic poly(N -Me-glycine)₇₂- b -poly(N -Decylglycine)₈ diblock copolymers in CD₃OD, turbidity measurements of linear and cyclic block copolypeptoid solutions, SEC-

DRI chromatograms of *c*-PNMG₁₀₅-*b*-PNDG₁₅ obtained after 17 days in room temperature methanol and the original sample, ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of 2-(*n*-decylamino)acetic acid hydrochloride (1) in DMSO-*d*₆, and ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of 2-(*N,N*-*tert*-butoxycarbonyl-*n*-decylamino)acetic acid (2) in CDCl₃. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dhzhang@lsu.edu.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Dr. Xiaopeng Li for conducting ESI MS experiments (Department of Chemistry, University of Akron). The authors also thank Dr. Rafael Cueto for assisting the SEC studies. This work was supported by Louisiana State University, National Science Foundation (CHE-0955820), and Louisiana State Board of Regents [LEQSF(2008-11)-RD-A-11]. T.P.S. and T.H.E. thank the NIH-NCRR COBRE (#P20RR017716) and NIST, U.S. Department of Commerce (#70NANB7H6178), for financial support. The statements herein do not reflect the views of NIH or NIST. We acknowledge the University of Delaware Keck Microscopy Facility for use of their TEM and Vitrobot and the University of Delaware Center for Molecular and Engineering Thermodynamics for the use of their light scattering instrument.

REFERENCES

- (1) Kricheldorf, H. R. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2010**, *48*, 251–284.
- (2) Semlyen, J. A. *Cyclic Polymers*, 2nd ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2000.
- (3) Douglas, J. F.; Freed, K. F. *Macromolecules* **1984**, *17*, 2344–2354.
- (4) Ohta, Y.; Masuoka, K.; Takano, A.; Matsushita, Y. *Physica B: Condens. Matter* **2006**, *385*, 532–534.
- (5) Zimm, B. H.; Stockmayer, W. H. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1949**, *17*, 1301–1314.
- (6) Fox, M. E.; Szoka, F. C.; Fréchet, J. M. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *42*, 1141–1151.
- (7) Nasongkla, N.; Chen, B.; Macaraeg, N.; Fox, M. E.; Fréchet, J. M. J.; Szoka, F. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 3842–3843.
- (8) Shin, E. J.; Jeong, W.; Brown, H. A.; Koo, B. J.; Hedrick, J. L.; Waymouth, R. M. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44*, 2773–2779.
- (9) Minatti, E.; Borsali, R.; Schappacher, M.; Deffieux, A.; Soldi, V.; Narayanan, T.; Putaux, J. L. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2002**, *23*, 978–982.
- (10) Minatti, E.; Viville, P.; Borsali, R.; Schappacher, M.; Deffieux, A.; Lazzaroni, R. *Macromolecules* **2003**, *36*, 4125–4133.
- (11) Lecommandoux, S.; Borsali, R.; Schappacher, M.; Deffieux, A.; Narayanan, T.; Rochas, C. *Macromolecules* **2004**, *37*, 1843–1848.
- (12) Putaux, J. L.; Minatti, E.; Lefebvre, C.; Borsali, R.; Schappacher, M.; Deffieux, A. *Faraday Discuss.* **2005**, *128*, 163–178.
- (13) Honda, S.; Yamamoto, T.; Tezuka, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *147*, 14759–14769.
- (14) Jacobson, H.; Stockmayer, W. H. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1950**, *18*, 1600–1606.
- (15) Bielawski, C. W.; Benitez, D.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 8424–8425.
- (16) Boydston, A. J.; Holcombe, T. W.; Unruh, D. A.; Frechet, J. M. J.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 5388–5389.
- (17) Boydston, A. J.; Xia, Y.; Kornfield, J. A.; Gorodetskaya, I. A.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 12775–12782.
- (18) Xia, Y.; Boydston, A. J.; Yao, Y.; Kornfield, J. A.; Gorodetskaya, I. A.; Spiess, H. W.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 2670–2677.
- (19) Li, H.; Jérôme, R.; Lecomte, P. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 650–654.
- (20) Culkun, D. A.; Jeong, W.; Csihony, S.; Gomez, E. D.; Balsara, N. P.; Hedrick, J. L.; Waymouth, R. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *119*, 2681–2684.
- (21) Jeong, W.; Hedrick, J. L.; Waymouth, R. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 8414–8415.
- (22) Jeong, W.; Shin, E. J.; Culkun, D. A.; Hedrick, J. L.; Waymouth, R. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 4884–4891.
- (23) Shin, E. J.; Brown, H. A.; Gonzalez, S.; Jeong, W.; Hedrick, J. L.; Waymouth, R. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 6388–6391.
- (24) Guo, L.; Zhang, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 18072–18074.
- (25) Guo, L.; Li, J.; Brown, Z.; Ghale, K.; Zhang, D. *Biopolym.: Peptide Sci.* **2011**, *96*, 596–603.
- (26) Eugene, D. M.; Grayson, S. M. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 5082–5084.
- (27) Zhang, Y.; Wang, G.; Huang, J. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2011**, *49*, 4766–4770.
- (28) Hu, J.; Zheng, R.; Wang, J.; Hong, L.; Liu, G. *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42*, 4638–4645.
- (29) Baldauf, C.; Günther, R.; Hofmann, H. J. *Phys. Biol.* **2006**, *3*, S1–S9.
- (30) Shah, N. H.; Butterfoss, G. L.; Nguyen, K.; Yoo, B.; Bonneau, R.; Rabenstein, D. L.; Kirshenbaum, K. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16622–16632.
- (31) Paul, B.; Butterfoss, G. L.; Boswell, M. G.; Renfrew, P. D.; Yeung, F. G.; Shah, N. H.; Wolf, C.; Bonneau, R.; Kirshenbaum, K. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 10910–10919.
- (32) Armand, P.; Kirshenbaum, K.; Goldsmith, R. A.; Farr-Jones, S.; Barron, A. E.; Truong, K. T. V.; Dill, K. A.; Mierke, D. F.; Cohen, F. E.; Zuckermann, R. N.; Bradley, E. K. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1998**, *95*, 4309–4314.
- (33) Armand, P.; Kirshenbaum, K.; Falicov, A.; Dunbrack, J. R. L.; Dill, K. A.; Zuckermann, R. N.; Cohen, F. E. *Folding Design* **1997**, *2*, 369–375.
- (34) Patch, J. A.; Barron, A. E. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2002**, *6*, 872–877.
- (35) Barron, A. E.; Zuckermann, R. N. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **1999**, *3*, 681–687.
- (36) Miller, S. M.; Simon, R. J.; Ng, S.; Zuckermann, R. N.; Kerr, J. M.; Moos, W. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1994**, *4*, 2657–2662.
- (37) Kwon, Y.; Kodadek, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 1508–1509.
- (38) Chongsiriwatana, N. P.; Patch, J. A.; Czyzewski, A. M.; Dohm, M. T.; Ivankin, A.; Gidalevitz, D.; Zuckermann, R. N.; Barron, A. E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2008**, *105*, 2794–2799.
- (39) Fowler, S. A.; Blackwell, H. E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2009**, *7*, 1508–1524.
- (40) Goodson, B.; Ehrhardt, A.; Ng, S.; Nuss, J.; Johnson, K.; Giedlin, M.; Yamamoto, R.; Moos, W. H.; Krebber, A.; Ladner, M. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1999**, *43*, 1429–1434.
- (41) Zuckermann, R. N.; Kodadek, T. *Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther.* **2009**, *11*, 299–307.
- (42) Murnen, H. K.; Rosales, A. M.; Jaworski, J. N.; Segalman, R. A.; Zuckermann, R. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 16112–16119.
- (43) Nam, K. T.; Shelby, S. A.; Choi, P. H.; Marciel, A. B.; Chen, R.; Tan, L.; Chu, T. K.; Mesch, R. A.; Lee, B.-C.; Connolly, M. D.; Kisielowski, C.; Zuckermann, R. N. *Nature Mater.* **2010**, *9*, 454–460.
- (44) Fetsch, C.; Grossmann, A.; Holz, L.; Nawroth, J. F.; Luxenhofer, R. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44*, 6746–6758.
- (45) Kanzaki, T.; Horikawa, Y.; Makino, A.; Sugiyama, J.; Kimura, S. *Macromol. Biosci.* **2008**, *8*, 1026–1033.
- (46) Makino, A.; Kizaka-Kondoh, S.; Yamahara, R.; Hara, I.; Kanzaki, T.; Ozeki, E.; Hiraoka, M.; Kimura, S. *Biomaterials* **2009**, *30*, 5156–5160.
- (47) Hoogenboom, R.; Schlaad, H. *Polymers* **2011**, *3*, 467–488.
- (48) Rosales, A.; Murnen, H.; Zuckermann, R.; Segalman, R. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 5627–5636.

- (49) Shin, S.; Yoo, B.; Todaro, L.; Kirshenbaum, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 3218–3225.
- (50) Holub, J.; Jang, H.; Kirshenbaum, K. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3275–3278.
- (51) Yoo, B.; Shin, S.; Huang, M.; Kirshenbaum, K. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 5528–5537.
- (52) Arduengo, A. J.; Krafczyk, R.; Schmutzler, R.; Craig, H. A.; Goerlich, J. R.; Marshall, W. J.; Unverzagt, M. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 14523–14534.
- (53) Li, X.; Guo, L.; Casiano-Maldonado, M.; Zhang, D.; Westdemiotis, C. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44*, 4555–4564.
- (54) Raynaud, J.; Christelle, A.; Gnanou, Y.; Taton, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 3201–3209.
- (55) Massey, J. A.; Temple, K.; Cao, L.; Rharbi, Y.; Ruez, J.; Winnik, M. A.; Manners, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 11577–11584.
- (56) Cao, L.; Manners, I.; Winnik, M. *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 8258–8260.
- (57) Wang, X.; Guerin, G.; Wang, H.; Wang, Y.; Manners, I.; Winnik, M. *Science* **2007**, *317*, 644–646.
- (58) Gilroy, J. B.; Gädt, T.; Whittell, G. R.; Chabanne, L.; Mitchels, J. M.; Richardson, R. M.; Winnik, M. A.; Manners, I. *Nature Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 566–570.
- (59) Patra, S. K.; Ahmed, R.; Whittell, G. R.; Lunn, D. J.; Dunphy, E. L.; Winnik, M. A.; Manners, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 8842–8845.
- (60) Petzetakis, N.; Dove, A. P.; O'Reilly, R. K. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 955–960.